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Abstract

The broad aim of this study was to measure the extent of Internet Financial Reporting Disclosure (IFRD) in the Saudi Listed Manufacturing Companies (SLMCs). It extends the current literature on IFRD by providing empirical data on Saudi Arabia, a developing country which has been scarcely researched in this field of study. Fifty-three SLMCs were investigated based on an unweighted checklist of 75 items (20 for presentation and 55 for content). The study also employed multi regression analysis to examine the status of IFRD. The analysis revealed an overall level of IFRD of 45 per cent. The study also provided empirical evidence of significant positive associations between IFRD and both company size and profitability. However, no significant positive associations were found between IFRD and company leverage or listed age. The study provides empirical evidence of a moderate IFRD rate. This is likely to motivate Saudi regulatory bodies and administrators of the SLMCs to increase the amount of information they disclose on their websites in order to enhance the transparency of their reports and meet all stakeholder expectations. The findings of this study are exclusive to the SLMCs and do not provide a complete picture about online disclosure by all Saudi listed companies. Therefore, future studies could investigate the status of online disclosure across all Saudi Listed Companies.
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1. Introduction

During the past twenty years, the number of internet users worldwide has increased significantly. From 16 million internet users in 1995 (i.e. 46.40% of the world’s total population), the number of internet users around the world on 31 December 2017 had increased to 4,157 million users, representing 54.4% of the world’s total population (Internet World Stats, 2017). Due to this significant increase in internet users, an increasing number of businesses worldwide are using the internet as a means of communication and to carry out tasks such as internet financial reporting (Ettredge et al., 2002; Jones & Xiao, 2004).

Internet financial reporting disclosure (IFRD) refers to firms using their websites to disseminate financial and nonfinancial information about their performance (Mohamed and Oyelere, 2003, p. 36; Moradi et al., 2011). In comparison to traditional paper-based methods, IFRD is a more cost- and time-effective method of obtaining financial and non-financial information about listed corporations (Ojah & Mokoteli, 2012; Andrikopoulos et al., 2013). Organizations worldwide are practicing IFRD as user demand for timely data increases (Amin & Mohamed, 2016). Thus, not only has IFRD become common practice in corporations in developed countries (Pervan, 2006), but it is also being used increasingly by corporations in developing countries (Aly, 2008).

Over the past two decades, IFRD has been widely researched in developed countries, which have well-established accounting information systems. However, research on this topic in developing countries is lacking, despite the continually changing accounting information systems, emerging markets, and continually increasing internet use in these countries (Khadaroo, 2005a; Mohamed et al., 2009; Lymer et al., 1999).

Few studies have explored the use of IFRD in corporations in Saudi Arabia (see for example Hussainey & Al-Nodel, 2008). The Kingdom’s adoption of the 2030 mission, which is expected to have significant impacts on business,
signifies a need for more research in this area. Thus, the primary aim of this study is to explore the use of IFRD by the
Saudi listed manufacturing companies (SLMCs).

There are three main objectives to the current study: to find out about the extent, pattern, and nature of IFRD in the
Kingdom and in the SLMCs in particular; to explore the effects of company characteristics like company size,
profitability rate, leverage, and listed age on the level of IFRD; and to add to the currently lacking research on IFRD in
an emerging economy like Saudi Arabia, thereby benefitting all stakeholders.

The following section explains the importance and motivation of this study; section 3 presents a review of the related
literature; section 4 presents the research methodology; section 5 presents the statistical analysis; and, finally, section 6
presents the discussion and conclusion.

2. Significance and Motivation of the Study

Saudi Arabia’s 2030 mission aims to promote foreign investments and increase investment in the private sector in
order to reduce the economy’s dependence on oil. Hence, the Saudi Arabian government has issued several legislations
to encourage national and international investment. These changes have had a significant impact on the governance of
Saudi business, since Saudi markets have entered an era of globalization (www.vision2030.gov.sa). Accounting
information systems in Saudi Arabia have also seen significant impact. The Saudi Organisation for Certified Public
Accountants (SOCPA) has indicated that transparency in financial reporting plays a significant role in enhancing the
level of disclosures in the financial reports of listed companies (www.socba.org.sa).

These significant changes to the Kingdom’s accounting information systems have encouraged the researchers of the
current study to investigate the level of IFRD in Saudi manufacturing companies, which are a main economic industry
in the country. Therefore, by investigating the level of IFRD in the listed manufacturing companies of a country that
has an emerging economy and that is undergoing major changes in business management, the present study makes
significant contributions to the body of literature on corporate reporting. Furthermore, this study makes the attempt to
identify the relevant characteristics of firms which practice IFRD. Finally, the results of the current study are likely to
courage the management teams of the SLMCs to enhance the level of disclosure in their reports by increasing
transparency, therefore meeting the expectations of all stakeholders.

3. Theoretical Background and Literature Review

The agency theory argues that the separation between shareholders and the management in large companies and the
diverging interests between these two parties results in information asymmetry and an increase the agency cost (Jensen
& Meckling, 1976). Hence, management may make more voluntary disclosure via the internet in order to decrease the
agency costs arising from these diverging interests (AbuGazaleh et al., 2012; Boubaker et al., 2012) and win
stakeholders’ trust and support by assuring them that their equity is being properly managed (Marston & Polei, 2004).
In addition, the annual report is a medium through which large companies present their performance to all beneficiaries,
which, according to the Signaling Theory, distinguishes one firm from another in terms of the quality and performance
(Spence, 1973). Thus, a firm’s reputation relies on the amount of information disclosed (AbuGhazaleh et al., 2012).
However, whilst it can eliminate the impact of information asymmetry, disclosure is costly (Portes & Rey, 2000).
Therefore, firms should only make voluntary disclosure in cases where the benefits of this disclosure are more
significant than its associated costs (Ness & Mirza, 1991).

Accordingly, managers must decide on the amount of information to be disclosed in annual reports. In recent years,
information technology (IT) has allowed firms to report their financial results on the internet, therefore reducing costs
and increasing disclosure benefits (Portes & Rey, 2000) and overcoming the limitations of traditional paper-based
disclosure. The internet presents a unique mechanism for communication, due to its ability to provide users worldwide
with up-to-date information about companies who are disclosing financial and non-financial information about their
affairs (Adams & Frost, 2004; Beattie & Pratt, 2001; 2003; Xiao et al., 2002). By making more information available,
utilizing audio and video presentations, and establishing a two way dialogue with stakeholders, firms can broaden and
segment their disclosure audience, enhance communication quality, and increase disclosure timeliness (Deller et al.,
1999; Lymer at al., 1999; Beattie & Pratt, 2003). Increase in global investments has led to paper-based reporting
becoming more expensive and limited in its capacity to reach investors in a timely manner. Meanwhile, internet
disclosure is not only faster but also more flexible in formation, cost-effective, and accessible to users on both the
national and global levels (Debreceny et al., 2002). Therefore, the benefits of internet disclosure exceed those of
printing media such as journals or newspapers (AbuGhazaleh et al., 2012).

IFRD has been widely researched in the past two decades. A large volume of research on this topic has been conducted
worldwide and has indicated that internet reporting is a new mechanism of communicating corporate financial
information to stakeholders. Buzcok et al. (2011) studied the effect that significant regulatory changes to corporate reporting had on internet reporting disclosure in 500 industry firms in Turkey. The study results indicated that IFRD was being practiced by most of these firms and that the number of listed and unlisted firms which practice IFRD had doubled between 2003 to 2010. Nonetheless, it was found that only six per cent of these firms made voluntary disclosures. Further, the results identified a positive impact of voluntary disclosures on share price, therefore supporting the signaling theory.

Al-Htaybat (2011) explored the IFRD practices of 272 listed companies in Jordan and found that 63 per cent of these firms had their own websites, whilst 70 percent of the firms with websites disclosed information online. The results also showed that firm size, performance, foreign ownership, and familiarity with online reporting could potentially be determining factors of the level of online reporting in Jordan.

Also in Jordan, Momany et al. (2014) investigated the IFRD practices of 127 listed firms in 2008. The results showed 69 per cent of these firms to have accessible websites and an overall average online reporting level of 73 per cent. The results also indicated statistical mismatches between the level of corporate information disclosure on the Amman Stock Exchange (ASE) website and the level of disclosure on the websites of the studied companies. Furthermore, firm size, leverage, age, and ownership concentration were found to be determining factors of the level of online financial reporting by these companies. Finally, in agreement with Momany and Al-Shorman (2006), the study suggested that IFRD practices in the financial sector are more advanced than IFRD practices in the service and industrial sectors.

Using a content analysis approach, a modified version of the disclosure index of Xiao et al. (2004), and a multiple regression analysis, Aly et al. (2010) studied the potential determining factors of corporate internet reporting by firms in Egypt. The study results showed that 56 per cent of the study sample practiced internet reporting to a significant degree. The results also showed that industrial type (financial and communications services), foreign listing, and profitability were the main determining characteristics of how much information was disclosed and how this information was presented on the websites of Egyptian companies. Meanwhile, liquidity, firm size, auditor size, and leverage size were not found to be linked to the degree of corporate internet reporting.

Alsartawi (2018) utilized a checklist consisting of 90 items to measure the level of IFRD and its link to firm performance in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC). The results showed a percentage of online financial reporting disclosure in the GCC of 77 per cent, and a positive link between disclosure level and firm performance was identified. However, results varied depending on the different GCC countries and the different industry types. Qatari companies had the highest level of IFRD (84 per cent), whilst Bahraini companies had the lowest level (70 per cent). As for the different industry types, banks had the highest level of disclosure (77 per cent), whilst investment companies had the lowest level (75 per cent). Likewise, Alsartawi and Ryad (2019) explored the link between online financial disclosure (OFD) and firm profitability in the context of Islamic banks in GCC countries. The overall level of disclosure by the sampled firms was found to be 72.5 per cent, and OFD and profitability were found to be negatively and insignificantly linked.

In France, a study by Boubaker et al. (2011) examined the role played by firm characteristics on internet corporate reporting. The study examined the levels of internet corporate disclosure using a set of disclosure indexes. The Ordinary Least Square regression framework was also utilized to test the study hypotheses. The results indicated that in French firms, the internet was being used to publish existing information as opposed to timely information. Further, it was found that internet disclosure was more common among large-audited firms, large-sized firms, firms with a dispersed ownership structure, IT firms, and firms with issued bonds or equities. Finally, the results showed that internet disclosure was more suitable for voluntary disclosures than for mandatory disclosures.

In the same vein, Dolinšek et al. (2014) utilized regression analyses and an index containing 32 elements to study the extent to which Slovenian companies carried out internet financial reporting. Further, the study investigated the impact of firm characteristics like size, profitability, ownership, legal form, concentration, industry type, and age on the IFRD index. The results showed that about 53 per cent of large companies used their websites for disclosures, and the factors which were found to impact IFRD were firm size, legal form, ownership concentration, and sector. IFRD was found to be practiced to a greater extent by large firms, public limited companies, financial sector companies, and firms with low ownership concentration.

In the UK, Xiao et al. (2002) examined the determining factors of IFRD. They analysed the perceptions of 20 expert accountants who perceived the internet as a communication system rather than a data processing system. The findings indicated that motivation, demand, lack of standard setting and regulation, and the nature of the internet as a communication system could determine the future of internet reporting. The findings also indicated that the internet could increase the frequency of reporting, widen the range of the information reported, enhance interactivity between...
firms and their users, make financial reporting more cost- and time-effective, increase growth in qualitative and nonfinancial information, and, in some cases, replace traditional reporting methods. However, the study also found that many issues can arise from IFRD, including the increase in non-audit financial and nonfinancial information, the difficulty to regulate reporting, and the overload of information.

In Saudi Arabia, a study by Hussainey and Al-Nodel (2008) explored the level of online corporate governance practice reporting by Saudi listed companies, particularly after the issue of guidance by the Saudi Arabia Capital Market Authority (SACMA). The study utilized a content analysis approach, and a corporate governance disclosure index was therefore developed for the analysis of the contents of each firm’s website. According to the study results, most Saudi listed firms were found to use the internet to share information related to corporate governance with their stakeholders. Further, the level of online reporting of corporate governance was found to vary between sectors, whereby the banking sector had the highest level while the industry and service sectors had the lowest level. The study suggested that the factors affecting the level of online corporate governance disclosure by firms in developing countries could include government involvement in the ownership and management of business, the control nature over the sector, and some social assumptions.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Data
This study included all of the 53 SLMC listed on the Saudi Stock Market Exchange (SSME) in December 2018. The list of firms was downloaded from the SSME website (https://www.fxnewstoday.ae/arab-markets). Table 1 presents this list with the rate of each type of industry. To find out whether these firms had websites, the SSME home page, as well as the Google search engine, was used. Where corporate websites were available, the search aimed to find out whether these websites had been used to disclose financial and non-financial information about the firm. In order to measure the impact of the independent variables (firm profitability, size, leverage, and listed age) on the dependent variable (IFRD), data was manually collected from the annual reports of the sample firms on December 31st, 2018. These reports were downloaded from the firms’ official websites or from Arqam (https://www.argam.com/ar/company/financial-pdf/3), a Saudi database. In order to make sure that all the firms had finalized and published their 2018 annual financial reports, data collection took place between January and April 2019.

Table 1. Description of the manufacturing firms according to their type of industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of industry</th>
<th>Number of firms</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petrochemical Firms</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement Firms</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and Food Firms</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment manufacturing firms</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To analyse the collected data, a content analysis approach was taken to measure and quantify the level of IFRD in the SLMCs and to determine its association with the different characteristics of manufacturing firms (i.e., firm size, profitability, leverage, and age). A content analysis approach allows for the collection of qualitative data from company websites and the use of quantitative analytical techniques like SPSS software to analyse the data (Abbott & Monsen, 1979).

4.2 Measuring the Level of IFRD
In terms of the disclosure index, Khan and Ismail (2010) have classified disclosure items into content items and presentation items. The authors argued that content items include companies’ corporate financial and nonfinancial information, while presentation items are items that can assist users in using the display of this information. The Financial Accounting Standards Board (2000) has also described IFRD items in terms of content and presentation. Content items usually contain voluntary and mandatory disclosure items, including items like financial history, press releases, and stock quotes. Meanwhile, presentation items include quarterly and annual financial reports in different forms, including printed annual reports and dynamic media such as sound and video used to improve information readability, comprehensibility, and display.
Different studies have been used as key studies for the selection of an appropriate checklist of items that could be applied to measure the level of IFRD in the SLMCs. These studies include Xiao et al. (2004); Guthrie and Abeyesekera (2006); Hanafi et al. (2009); Al-Htaybat (2011); Alturki (2004), and Al-Sartawi (2016). After reviewing these studies, the decision was made to adopt the disclosure index used by Al-Sartawi (2016), after making minor modifications in order to reflect the context of Saudi Arabia. This index was found to be appropriate as it has been used to assess the level of financial disclosure in some listed companies in Gulf countries, including Saudi Arabia. Further, it is the most recent index among the aforementioned key studies. To validate the selected index, the researcher compared all the index items with the disclosure items recommended by the Saudi Arabian Capital Market Authority (SACMA). This allowed for the construction of the final disclosure index, which is applicable to the SLMCs.

The checklist used in this study is composed of 75 elements in total, 20 general evaluation elements related to presentation issues and 55 elements related to the content of IFRD. It is expected that these elements represent the status of IFRD by the Saudi Manufacturing Listed Firms. The index is presented in Appendix A. The dichotomous evaluation technique was used to calculate the total scores of items for each firm. If a company website had a specific element, a value of 1 was given; otherwise, a value of 0 was given (Hossain and Hammami, 2009; Al-Htaybat, 2011; Alturki, 2014).

Therefore, for each firm, the variable ‘actual online disclosed items’ (AODI) represented the total disclosure score, which could take a maximum value of 75 for all firms. The IFRD index was calculated as follows:

$$IFRD\ Index = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d_i}{n}$$

where:

di: disclosed item = 1 if the company had disclosed the item, otherwise 0.
n: maximum score a company could obtain, which is 75 in this study.

4.3 Research Variables and Hypotheses

The impact of different firm characteristics on the level of disclosure has been thoroughly examined worldwide. The literature reveals a mixed picture regarding the association between these characteristics and IFRD level. The significance of this association varies between countries and between firms in a country. Although the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has an emerging market, few studies have explored this issue (see for example: Alsaeed, 2006; Hossain and Hammami, 2009; Alturki, 2014). Therefore, the current study has examined the impact of firm profitability, size, leverage, and age on the level of IFRD in the SLMCs.

4.3.1 Company Profitability

Profitability is an important factor that might determine the level of disclosure (Marston and Polei, 2004; Aljifri, 2008; Hossain and Hammami, 2009; Aly et al., 2010; Alturki, 2014; Khalil and O’sullivan, 2017. The agency theory and the signaling theory have both suggested that there is always an agency problem due to the asymmetric information which results from the separation between managers and owners of publicly held companies. Hence, both theories have argued that, in comparison to less profitable companies, highly profitable firms are likely to disclose more information as a signal of their efforts and success (Inchausti, 1997; Dyczkowska, 2014). Return on assets will be used in the current study as a proxy to examine the link between firm profitability and disclosure level. Hence, the following hypothesis has been developed:

H1. There is a positive association between firm profitability and the level of IFRD in the SLMCs.

4.3.2 Company Size

The agency theory states that, in comparison to small companies, large companies are likely to incur higher agency costs (Jensen et al., 1976). These agency costs may exist due to the conflict of interests between shareholders and managers; therefore, companies are likely to make increased disclosures in order to reduce these costs (Marston and Polei, 2004). The reviewed studies highlight the role that company size plays in determining the level of disclosures. The majority of studies on this issue have indicated a positive link between the two aforementioned variables (see for example: Belkaoui-Riahi, 2001; Larran & Giner, 2002; Allam & Lymer, 2003; Oyelere et al., 2003; Xiao et al., 2004; Bollen et al., 2006; Al-Shammari, 2007; Trabelsi et al., 2008; Damaso and Lourenço, 2011; Al-Htaybat, 2011; Alturki, 2014; and Khalil and O’ Sullivan, 2017), although some exceptions exist (see Marston, 2003; Agyei-Mensah, 2012). Thus, the present study will use total assets as proxy measures of company size, and it can therefore be hypothesized that:
H2. There is a positive association between firm size and the level of IFRD in the SLMCs.

4.3.3 Company Leverage

The third variable examined in the current study was leverage. Leverage refers to the capital structure of a company. This variable often raises the following question: to what extent does a company rely on debt to finance its assets? The impact of leverage on the level of disclosures has been examined based on the agency theory (Watson et al., 2002; Alsaeed, 2006; Abdullah & Ku Ismail, 2008). The concerns associated with leverage are the risk implied by the debt and the agency costs a company will be prone to (Alsaeed, 2006). Based on this line of reasoning, a company is likely to make a high level of disclosures in order to decrease these agency costs and the information asymmetries between the company and its creditors (Inchausti, 1997; Uyar & Kilic, 2012a). The literature reviewed has shown a mixed picture regarding the impact of leverage on the level of disclosure. Scholars such as Ho & Wong (2001), Aksu & Kosedag (2006), Alsaeed (2006), Huafang & Jianguo (2007), and Chau & Gray (2010) provided empirical evidence for an insignificant link between leverage and disclosure. Meanwhile, Malone et al. (1993), Hossain et al. (1995), and Uyar and Kilic (2013) evidenced a positive significant link between these two variables. Therefore, the following hypothesis was developed:

H3. There is a positive association between leverage and the level of IFRD in the SLMCs.

4.3.4 Company Age

The signaling theory explains the link between age and the level of IFRD. As a firm’s listed age increases, the level of IFRD is also likely to increase, since older companies are less likely to suffer from their competitive advantages (Al-Shammari, 2007). In addition, younger companies may not be able to meet the high costs of gathering, processing, and disseminating information and may not have a ‘track record’ to rely on to disclose information (Owusu-Ansah, 1998).

Several previous studies have investigated the association between company age and IFRD level, but the picture shown by these studies was also found to be mixed. While Hossain et al. (2009) and Hossain and Hammami (2009) evidenced a significant positive link between company age and IFRD level, Haniffa and Cooke (2002), Haniffa et al. (2002), Alsaeed (2006), Hossain et al. (2006), Uyar and Kilic (2013), and Dolinšek et al. (2014) found otherwise. Therefore, the fourth variable examined in this study was age, based on the following hypothesis:

H4. There is a positive association between listed age and the level of IFRD in the SLMCs.

4.4 Model Development

Table 2 explains the dependent and independent variables. The majority of the measurements and the expected relationships are congruent with previous research (Cooke, 1989; Gul and Leung, 2004; and Hossain et al., 2009).

Table 2. Dependent and independent variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Expected signs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent Variable</td>
<td>IFRD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 if the item is disclosed, and 0 if the item is not disclosed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Variables</td>
<td>Prof.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured by the return on assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured by the total assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To investigate the link between the predictor variables and the level of IFRD (predicted variable) in the SLMCs, the following multiple regression was estimated using company profitability, size, leverage, and company age as the independent variables and IFRD as the dependent variable.

\[
\text{IFRD} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{PROF} + \beta_2 \text{SIZE} + \beta_3 \text{LEV} + \beta_4 \text{AGE} + e
\]

where: IFRD = Internet Financial Reporting Disclosure; \(\beta_0\) = The intercept of the regression line and its constant value; PROF = Profitability; SIZE = Company Size; LEV = Leverage; AGE = Company listing age; and \(e\) = Error term.

5. Analysis

5.1 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics of the predicted and predictor variables in the current study are presented in Table 3. As mentioned above, the index used in this study consisted of 75 disclosure items in total. The level of IFRD was
measured by dividing the total score of each company by the total number of disclosure items in the index. The analysis revealed that all of the sampled manufacturing companies used the internet to communicate financial information to stakeholders. However, a significant variation was identified in the levels of IFRD between these firms. The mean, maximum, minimum, and standard deviation of these variables are presented in Table 3. As presented in Table 3, the overall level of average IFRD in Saudi manufacturing firms was found to be about 45%, while the minimum and maximum levels were found to be 14% and 75%, respectively. In terms of the predictor variables, the table shows a profitability mean of 4%, with a maximum of 21%, a minimum of minus 51%, and a standard deviation of 0.1050. The mean company size was found to be 13.1, and the mean leverage was found to be 40%, indicating a moderate level of leverage among the sampled companies. Finally, the mean company age was found to be 32.30 with a standard deviation of 15.7108, while the maximum and minimum ages were 66 and 6, respectively.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of the variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFRD</td>
<td>IFRD</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.45121783</td>
<td>.753333</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>0.175651329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profitability</td>
<td>Prof.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.042929341</td>
<td>0.212092185</td>
<td>-0.51184671</td>
<td>0.104732506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13063010464</td>
<td>3.16893E+11</td>
<td>237179321</td>
<td>45189935938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage</td>
<td>Lev.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.403538</td>
<td>0.883744</td>
<td>0.044453</td>
<td>0.221057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>32.30189</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.71083</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Regression Analyses

Many previous studies have utilized multiple regression analysis to investigate the association between certain company characteristics and IFRD level. Tables 4 & 5 present the results of the multiple regression model for the IFRD level in the SLMCs. The value of $R^2$ in table 4 explains about 41 per cent of the variance in the level of IFRD among the sampled companies. The ANOVA analysis in Table 5 shows a positive value of F (8.25252685) and an overall P-value (F test) of 3.05E-06, which is less than the significant level of 0.05 per cent. This indicates that, in general, the multiple regression analysis in this study is significant. In addition, Table 4 shows that two of the predictor variables examined in this study (profitability and company size) determine the level of IFRD in the SLMCs, since the P-value for each of them (3.4390E-05 and 3.4390E -05, respectively) is less than the significant level of 0.05 per cent. This provides empirical support for two hypotheses regarding profitability and company size and suggests that both these variables have a significant positive association with the level of IFRD in the SLMCs. However, this is not the case for the other two predictor variables (leverage and listed age) since the P-value for each of them exceeded the significant level of 0.05 per cent.

Table 4. Regression results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t Stat</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Lower 95%</th>
<th>Upper 95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.336688839</td>
<td>0.076168659</td>
<td>5.012588355</td>
<td>7.72E-06</td>
<td>0.201637</td>
<td>0.4717405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profitability</td>
<td>1.007314222</td>
<td>0.220470716</td>
<td>4.568925254</td>
<td>3.4390E-05</td>
<td>0.564028</td>
<td>1.4506003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>1.3819E-12</td>
<td>4.32414E-13</td>
<td>3.195791212</td>
<td>3.4390E-05</td>
<td>5.1247E-1</td>
<td>2.2513E12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage</td>
<td>0.1480821</td>
<td>0.104185555</td>
<td>1.421330429</td>
<td>0.16168547</td>
<td>-0.061397</td>
<td>0.3575612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.00020193</td>
<td>0.00126878</td>
<td>-0.15915617</td>
<td>0.87421363</td>
<td>-0.002753</td>
<td>0.0023491</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model Summary

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple R</td>
<td>0.638343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Square</td>
<td>0.407481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R square</td>
<td>0.358105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
<td>0.140729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Published by Sciedu Press 7  ISSN 1927-6001  E-ISSN 1927-601X
Table 5. ANOVA Analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Significance F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.653753386</td>
<td>0.163438347</td>
<td>8.25252685</td>
<td>3.7796E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.950622855</td>
<td>0.019804643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.604376241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Discussion and Conclusion

This study aimed to investigate the level of IFRD in the SLMCs. The study also investigated the association between some company characteristics (i.e. company profitability, size, leverage, and listed age) and the level of IFRD in the SLMCs. In addition, the study extends the literature on internet corporate disclosure by examining the level of IFRD in Saudi Arabia, a developing country with a growing capital market. Further, Saudi Arabia is undertaking a major reform which places emphasis on boosting investment in the private sector and offering investment opportunities for national and international investors. An unweighted disclosure index was designed for this study. The index consisted of 75 items in total, 20 of them for presentation and 55 for corporate disclosure. The study also tested four hypotheses to examine the association between IFRD level and company profitability, size, leverage, and listed age in the SLMCs.

This study found that the overall average of IFRD among the SLMCs is 45 per cent. Consistent with Alturki (2014), this moderate rate of IFRD can be considered acceptable because the Kingdom has a growing capital market with a weak regulatory environment and weak corporate governance. This, according to Alturki (2014), is more likely to cause a low level of information disclosure. Hence, in order to increase the level of IFRD and therefore minimise agency costs and asymmetric information between listed companies and stakeholders, Saudi regulatory bodies may need to impose more rules on the Saudi Listed Companies (Debreceny et al., 2002). Another possible reason for the moderate rate of disclosure in the SLMCs could be related to the transitional period during which all Saudi listed companies are operating. A major change was imposed on these firms in January 2009, whereby it became a requirement for companies to adopt International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This is likely to have impacted accounting information systems in the Kingdom. These findings might open windows for future studies to examine the impact of applying the IFRS on the level of IFRD in the Saudi Listed Companies.

As for the impact of different company characteristics on the IFRD in the SLMCs, the analysis indicates the presence of a significant positive link between IFRD level and both profitability rate and company size. These results are in line with the signalling theory, indicating that large and more profitable firms are likely to disclose more information as positive signals in order to attract more investors. Also, these findings are consistent with the findings of several published studies on IFRD. Al-Sartawi (2018) measured the level of IFRD and its link to profitability in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries and found a positive link between these two variables. Al-Htaybat (2014) examined the level of IFRD in Jordan and the effect of company size and profitability on IFRD level. Based on a sample composed of 175 Jordanian listed companies, the author identified a significant positive impact of company size and profitability on IFRD level. Omran and Ramdhony (2016) explored the extent and determinants of internet corporate reporting by 34 Mauritius stock market exchange listed firms. The results indicated that company size positively and significantly impacted the level of IFRD, whereas no significant link between profitability and the level of IFRD was identified. Aljawder and Sarea (2016) conducted an exploratory study to explore the level of internet corporate reporting in 47 listed companies in Bahrain. The results evidenced a significant positive relationship between firm size and IFRD level. However, Aljawder and Sarea’s study contradicts the findings of this current study on profitability rate, since it found no significant positive impact of profitability on online reporting level.

In addition, the findings of this study did not provide empirical evidence of a significant positive relationship between the level of IFRD and company leverage or listed age, since the P-value for each of these predictor variables exceeded the significant value of 0.05 per cent. As for company leverage, the results of this study are in accordance with the results reached by Oxelere et al. (2003), Aly et al. (2010), and Omran and Ramdhony (2016), since none of these studies provided empirical evidence for a significant positive link between IFRD and company leverage. However, profitability was identified by these studies as the main determinant of the level of disclosures. As for age, the findings of this study contradict a study conducted by Al-Shammari (2007), who identified a positive link between the level of internet disclosure and company listed age in Kuwait.

Based on the evidence provided by this study, it can be concluded that although most of the sampled companies have websites, they still do not make sufficient use of these websites to disseminate information about their annual reports
for decision makers. This is in contrast with the agency theory and is more likely to widen the gap between these companies and their stakeholders. Finally, this study has provided empirical evidence from listed companies in a developing country like Saudi Arabia, therefore contributing to the literature on internet financial reporting disclosure. However, the results of this study are limited to a single Saudi industry, manufacturing companies, and do not provide a full picture of the status quo of IFRD in all Saudi industries. Therefore, future studies could be conducted to investigate the level of disclosure across all Saudi industries.
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