Primary clear cell adenocarcinoma of duodenum
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ABSTRACT

Backgrounds: Clear cell adenocarcinoma (CCA) of duodenum has not been reported.
Aims: To report the first case of CCA of duodenum.
Case: A 76-year-old woman presented epigastralgia, and upper GI endoscopy revealed an ulcerated tumor in the 1st portion (next to stomach) of duodenum close to pylorus (remote from pylorus by 2 cm). No tumor was seen in duodenal papilla. Biopsy from the lesion showed proliferation and invasion of high-grade carcinoma cells with very clear cytoplasm but without glandular structures. No signet-ring carcinoma cells were seen. Histochemically, mucus stains showed no mucins but suggested glycogens. Immunohistochemically, tumor cells were positive for cytokeratin (CK7, CK18, CK19, CEA, CA19-9, p53, MUC1, and Ki67 (labeling index = 36%), but negative for CD45, CK34BE12, CK5, CK6, CK20, vimentin, CA125, CDX-2, HepPar1, AFP, PSA, CD10, renal cell carcinoma (RCC) markers, PSA, AMACR, HER2, S100 protein, TTF-1, NSE, NCAM, synaptophysin, chromogranin, MUC2, MUC5AC, MUC6, estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor.
Conclusion: The first case of primary CCA of duodenum is reported.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Primary malignant tumors of small intestine including duodenum are very rare. Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and clear cell adenocarcinoma (CCA) of female genital organs are the most representative. CCA is reported in the stomach, but has not been reported in duodenum.

2. CASE REPORT

A 76-year-old woman presented epigastralgia, and upper GI endoscopy revealed an ulcerated tumor in duodenum (see Figure 1A) in the 1st portion (next to stomach) of duodenum close to pylorus (remote from pylorus by 2 cm). No tumor was seen in duodenal papilla. Seven relatively large punch biopsies taken from the lesion showed proliferation and invasion of high-grade carcinoma cells with very clear cytoplasm but without glandular structures (see Figure 1B-D). Almost all carcinoma cells had clear cytoplasm. Tumor nuclei were located in central cytoplasm, and no signet-ring carcinoma cells were seen. Histochemically, PAS, d-PAS, alcian blue, and colloidal iron stains showed no significant mucins but suggested a small amount of glycogen which was stained as granular by PAS stain (see Figure 1E) and was abolished in d-PAS stain (not shown). Immunohistochemical study was carried out with the use of envision method. The tumor cells were positive for cytokeratin (CK7 (see Figure 1F), CK18, CK19, CEA (see Figure 1G), CA19-9, p53, MUC1 (see Figure 1H), and Ki67 (labeling index = 36%), but negative for CD45, CK34BE12, CK5, CK6, CK20, vi-
mentin, CA125, CDX-2, HepPar1, AFP, PSA, CD10, RCC markers, PSA, AMACR, HER2, S100 protein, TTF-1, NSE, NCAM, synaptophysin, chromogranin, MUC2, MUC5AC, MUC6, estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PgR). The diagnosis of CCA of duodenum was made. No other tumor was seen in various imaging techniques. No surgical intervention was carried out because the patient denied it and also because the cancer was in advanced stage (Stage 3). The patient is now treated by chemotherapy.

Figure 1. Endoscopic (A), histological (B,C,D), histochemical (E) and Immunohistochemical (F,G,H) findings of the tumor. 
A: Upper GI endoscopy shows ulcerated tumor (arrow) in duodenum; B: Low power view of the biopsy. Carcinoma cells without differentiation are seen (arrows). HE, ×40; C: Medium power view shows apparent carcinoma with atypia and clear cytoplasm. HE, ×200; D: High power view shows high-grade atypical features, cancer cell characteristics, and clear cytoplasmas. HE, ×300; G: PAS staining showed granular positivity in the tumor cells cytoplasm, which is abolished by d-PAS staining (not shown). PAS, ×400; F-H: immunohistochemistry of tumor cells. The tumor cells were positive for cytokeratin 7 (F), CEA (G), and MUC1 (H). Immunostainings, ×200.

3. DISCUSSION

The common location of duodenal tumors is Papilla Vater; the present tumor was not a papillary tumor. The tumor was found in the 1st portion of the duodenum. ICD-O classification of duodenal carcinomas includes adenocarcinoma NOS, mucinous adenocarcinoma, pseudomyxoma peritonei with unknown primary site, signet ring cell carcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, medullary carcinoma NOS, medullary ade-
which is seen in necrodegenerative assault, can showed clear
The positive CEA, CA19-9 and MUC1 is compatible with
Though the present tumor is primary duodenal tumor, other
tumor is not signet-ring cell carcinoma.

Although no fat stain was possible in the present tumor, the clearness is not due to fat. No mucus was found in the present tumor by several mucus stains, suggesting that the cytoplasmic clearness of the present tumor is not ascribed to mucins. Accumulation of body fluid in the cytoplasm (intracytoplasmic edema), which is seen in necrodegenerative assault, can showed clear cytoplasm, but this situation also shows other degenerative change and necrosis, which are not present in the present case. The clear cytoplasm of most CCA including gastric CCA is owing to glycogen accumulation. The present tumor is not signet-ring cell carcinoma.

Since CCA can arise from most organs, the present study employed an Immunohistochemical study. The cytokeratin pattern (CK7+, CK18+, CK19+, CK20-, CK34BE12, CK5, and CK6) is compatible with primary gastrointestinal tumor. The positive CEA, CA19-9 and MUC1 is compatible with the conclusion that the primary tumor is basically adenocarcinoma though the tumor was very poorly differentiated. The positive p53 and high-Ki-67 indicate malignancy of the tumor and positive p53 mutations. Other negative immunohistochemical markers have many meanings, but it only stated herein that the tumor is not prostatic adenocarcinoma which occasionally shows clear cytoplasm, is not primary lung carcinoma, is not malignant lymphoma, does not have neuroendocrine differentiation, does not have secretory MUC, and is not breast carcinoma.

The clinical significance of CCA of duodenum is now uncertain, as with the case in many clear cell tumors. However, the tumor shows characteristic histological and Immunohistochemical features. Although the author does not prefer increasing over-classifications, being done by many institutions (such as WHO, AFIP), whose clinico-pathological entities are uncertain, the author feels that CCA of duodenum can provide specific clinico-pathological correlations useful as it specific clinico-pathological entity. Thus, observational studies of this tumor after case accumulations may be worthy. Finally, as is well known, gastrointestinal adenocarcinoma can show many patterns of co-existing structures including tubular, acinar, papillary, poorly differentiated, mucinous, signet-ring cell, and anaplastic. In the present study, no surgical treatment was done, and therefore the whole histological features were not obvious. However, the seven relatively large punch biopsies showed CCA without obvious features of other types of gastrointestinal adenocarcinoma phenotype. Therefore, the author thinks that it is authentic CCA of duodenum.

4. CONCLUSION
The first case of primary CCA of duodenum is reported.
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