A method for characterizing sarcoma tumour boundary scanned with T2-weighted MRI
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Abstract

Objective: Analysis of the tumor boundary could play an important role in increasing the understanding of tumor relationships with normal structures for surgical resection planning and risk assessment for local recurrence in sarcoma. The objective of this paper is to present analytical methods that were developed to characterize the tumor boundary using the signal from T2-weighted MRI in a test set of images from patients with sarcomas.

Methods: The study MRI parameters were compared to histopathological data from the resected tumors. T2-weighted clinical MR scans were acquired from 20 subjects from patients diagnosed with sarcoma. Computer software was developed to characterize the MR intensity of the tumor boundary as function of distance along probing radii.

Results: There were significant differences in the MRI tumor boundary parameters between tumors resected with positive or negative margins. The slope of the T2 signal change at the tumor/normal tissue boundary ($p=0.007$) and boundary distance ($p=0.001$) were significantly correlated with tumor margin status.

Conclusions: These data demonstrate that this image analysis algorithm which characterizes the change in MR signal at the tumor boundary could be useful in the clinical evaluation of the tumor. The spatial position of this MR signal slope parameter that correlates with positive tumor margins can be identified precisely while the tumor is still in the body of the patient prior to tumor removal. This boundary analysis method which is different than simple edge detection reports on the tumor-normal tissue interface.
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Introduction

Analysis of the tumor boundary in MR imaging could play an important role in increasing the understanding of tumor relationships with normal structures for surgical resection planning and risk assessment for local recurrence in sarcoma. For most surgical resection planning, the MR images are used to identify the size and extent of tumor, involved structures, and other tissue characteristics qualitatively. However, there is little work that has investigated methods to
quantitatively characterize the tumor interface with normal tissues pre-operatively using imaging. Since its initial
discovery in the 1970s, there have been several thousand publications showing the utility of T2-weighted MRI for
diagnosis and radiological characterization of tumors. This experience is well described in a review of MRI utility in
sarcomas by Varma et al. [23]. There are several papers that describe the importance of T2-weighted MRI in clinical
sarcoma diagnosis and treatment MR as evidenced by the following 21 publications, many of which performed a
radiological/pathological correlation and specifically describe the tumor boundaries and rims [1-6, 8-22]. The boundary
changes are likely caused by edema and other processes and are noted in the papers below. For example, Furukawa et al.
(2010) used a histopathological examination to reveal that the T2-weighted detectable rim in uterine tumors consisted of
fibrous tissue layers and a decrease in free water caused by distortion of myometrial tissue following tumor expansion.
In another study by Barile et al. (2002), both T1 and T2-weighted images were used to find an accurate definition of the
lesion boundaries to exclude or demonstrate local region invasion. More specific to tumor boundary characterization,
investigators have made several other observations. In a more recently published study, Liu et al. used MRI to
qualitatively characterize sarcoma tumor boundary/margins [14]. They showed that sarcoma histological grade was
related to the tumor margin appearance ($P<0.05$): the margin was well defined in 60% of grade I tumors, and poorly
defined in 60% of grade III tumor. However, analysis of the tumor margin infiltration characteristics was not described
beyond noting the presence or absence of a peri-tumoral bright zone, or the presence of a pseudocapsule. Fernebro et al.
[7] investigated the correlation of measurements of infiltrative microscopic peripheral growth and MRI tumor
appearance. Their results showed that all tumors with diffuse peripheral normal tissue infiltration noted in pre-operative
MRI images also showed microscopic infiltration and had prognostic significance [7].

The objective of this paper is to present analytical methods that were developed to characterize the tumor boundary
using the signal from T2-weighted MRI in a test set of MRI images from patients with sarcoma. This technique (which
characterizes the intensity change at the tumor boundary) goes far beyond tumor edge-detection (which only defines the
spatial position of the tumor boundary). T2-weighted MRI was chosen because of the large difference in water mobility
characteristics (with its associated difference in T2 relaxation time) between sarcoma and normal surrounding tissue.
The study MRI tumor boundary parameters were compared to histopathological data from the resected tumors where the
tumor margin status was reported.

**Methods**

T2-weighted clinical MR scans were acquired from 20 subjects who were diagnosed with sarcoma. This was a
retrospective analysis of scans that were routinely collected as part of the clinical radiological protocol in sarcoma
patient evaluation. The scans were acquired on 3 different MR scanner types (9 from General Electric Signa Excite, 6
from Philips Medical Systems and 5 from Siemens). Although other MR scan series were acquired as part of the
standard clinical protocol such as T1-weighted series, short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) series, and a pre-post
Gadolinium contrast T1-weighted series, the focus of this project was in the use of T2-weighted MR images. This study
was approved by the University of Washington Institutional Review Board/Human Subjects Committee and all of the
subjects signed a study consent form. The scans used fast-spin echo type pulse sequences, with T2-weighted echo times
ranging from 69 to 100 msec, repetition times ranging from 3000 to 5000 msec, and field of view and scan resolution set
to encompass the body part where the tumor was located. Typical spatial matrix for acquisition was 512voxels × 512voxels × 66voxels with dimensions of 0.8mm × 0.8mm × 7mm.

**Tumor image analysis algorithm**

The MRI T2-weighted image data set consists of a three-dimensional spatial array of MRI intensity at each of N tissue
volume elements. Measures of tumor boundary characteristics are based on a sampling of the MRI intensity from 90
constructed sampling rays that stem from a point near the center of the tumor (identified by the radiology oncologist). An anatomical reference location was also identified by the radiology oncologist.

Software was written in fortran to perform the following steps:

a) Read in the 3 dimensional T2-weighted image into a 3 dimensional fortran array

b) Read in a file with X,Y,Z coordinates of tumor center and reference tissue center

c) Determine the mean MR signal and standard deviation of the tumor and reference tissue

Generate 90 radial rays stemming from the user-defined tumor center using the following equation (the radial rays are confined to the same in plane resolution as the T2-weighted image):

\[X (\text{angle, ray length}) = \cos(\text{angle}) \times \text{ray length}\]

\[Y (\text{angle, ray length}) = \sin(\text{angle}) \times \text{ray length}\]

Where X is the X (horizontal) cartesian coordinate in the same space as the T2-weighted image, Y is the Y (vertical) cartesian coordinate. X and Y are functions of the angle and the ray length. The angle is incremented 90 times every 4 degrees for a total of 360 degrees and the ray length is incremented in the nested do loop (a nested do loop is a way to increment through the variables defined above—angle, ray length) every pixel until it reaches the length of the ray (which is set to be large enough to extend from within the tumor to the tumor boundary). This procedure generates 90 rays for analysis and places them in the image matrix space so that they can be used to sample the tumor signal (MRI intensity values from the T2-weighted image) near the boundary. Figure 1 shows an example of the 90 probing rays overlay on a T2-weighted image of a sarcoma. Each of the 90 rays is used to generate a MR intensity curve to sample the tumor boundary characteristics: i) the edge of the tumor was found for each ray by finding the location at which the MR signal intensity drops below a threshold which is determined from the average MR signal intensity of the reference tissue (average intensity was calculated from an rectangular region of interest within the reference tissue of voxel size 10 × 10 × 3) and its standard deviation; ii) the near boundary inside max intensity tumor coordinates (pixel location coordinates) and maximum boundary tumor signal were found by incrementing inward from the tumor edge along the ray until a maximum tumor MR signal is found within 1 cm of the edge; iii) the distance between tumor edge and near boundary inside tumor max signal is calculated (boundary distance); iv) the average slope between the tumor edge and tumor max signal was calculated by using the following equation:

\[\text{Slope} = \frac{\{y_p (ii-1, ia) - y_p (ii, ia)\}}{\Delta x}\] which is the MR signal intensity of the adjacent pixel minus the signal intensity of the current loop pixel. This change in MR signal intensity was normalized by dividing by the MR signal intensity of the reference tissue.

Where yp is MR signal intensity at the location ii along the ray, ii is incrementing factor along the ray and ia is the angle or ray number. The denominator here is 1 since each element in the x axis is separated by 1 mm. The maximum slope between the tumor edge and tumor max signal is also found for each ray.

The algorithm works on one slice at a time until the entire tumor volume is covered. A set of 90 sampling rays are generated for each slice and each sampling ray has its own set of boundary characteristics which can be averaged together across the whole tumor or can be displayed as an overlay on top of the T2-weighted image.
**Histopathology**

Tumor resection margin status (positive or negative) was assessed by standard histopathologic techniques by the hospital pathologist.

![Overlay of tumor sampling rays onto MRI T2-weighted image of a sarcoma.](image1)

**Figure 1.** Overlay of tumor sampling rays onto MRI T2-weighted image of an example sarcoma. The MR signal along these sampling rays is used to calculate the MR quantitative slope parameters.

![MRI with overlay of tumor boundary sampling parameters](image2)

**Figure 2.** MRI with overlay of tumor boundary sampling parameters.

In figure 2, the blue dots show the starting radial location of the boundary based on the average and standard deviation of the baseline muscle signal along the sampling rays. The pink dots show the part of the tumor where there is maximum MRI signal intensity within 1 cm of the starting location as the search algorithm moves inward toward the tumor center along the ray. This scan came from a 44 year old female diagnosed with synovial sarcoma in the leg.

In figure 3, the parts of the curve are labeled to show the reference signal outside of tumor, the peak tumor MR image signal near the tumor boundary, and the rapidly changing tumor MR image signal used to calculate the boundary slope.

In figure 4, blue identifies the MR slope parameter for both negative (-) and positive (+) histopathological margin status. Yellow identifies the MR boundary distance parameter. Red identifies the maximum slope parameter and purple identifies the MR signal boundary parameter. Significant differences between negative and positive margin status were found in the MR slope (blue), distance parameter (yellow), and signal (purple).
Figure 3. Plot of MRI intensity as a function of distance along a sampling tumor ray

Figure 4. Plot of tumor margin status versus rank of each of the four MRI parameters

Note. For each box on this plot: the thick black line in the middle of the box shows the median for that group; the box is drawn between the quartiles; and the dotted lines extend to the minimum and maximum values for that group.

Data analysis

A non-parametric rank test was used to statistically test the ability of the new MR tumor boundary parameters to differentiate positive from negative margins. The individual values of the MR tumor boundary parameters were each ranked and a plot (shown in the
Results

Study images were acquired from patients with bone and soft tissue sarcoma as proven by biopsy. The results of the four MRI tumor boundary parameters and histopathology parameters for 20 subjects are shown in Table 1. Patient listed as case 1 in Table 1 was initially diagnosed as an unspecified myxoid sarcoma subtype located in the flank. On final pathologic diagnosis after resection, tumor markers showed it to be an unspecified type of serous adenocarcinoma.

In these patients, diagnostic MR images showed typical characteristics of soft tissue sarcoma, homogeneous signal intensity and noticeable borders with normal tissues. Some sarcomas showed heterogeneous signal intensity in Bone tumor masses usually with soft tissue involvement.

Figure 2 shows an example display of the tumor boundary distance parameter which changes along the edge of the tumor. The software defines the tumor boundary distance parameter as the radial distance between the blue and pink dots along each sampling ray. Figure 3 shows an example of the MR signal as a function of distance along one sampling ray. This image demonstrates boundary parameters features of slope and peak MR signal at the tumor boundary.
We also tested the ability of the new MR tumor boundary parameters to differentiate tumor with involved (positive) and uninvolved (negative) resection margins by recording histopathologic data from the 20 patients with images analyzed and compared this data with the analyzed MR tumor boundary parameters. The plot shown in Figure 4 shows that significant differences were found in MRI tumor boundary parameters between tumors resected with positive or negative margins. Slope of the T2 signal change at the tumor/normal tissue boundary ($p=0.007$) and boundary distance ($p=0.001$) were significantly able to distinguish these important tumor characteristics.

Table 1. (Continued.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Slope (nMRI/mm)</th>
<th>Slope mx (nMRI/mm)</th>
<th>Distance (mm)</th>
<th>Tumor signal (nMRI)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size of tumor (cm)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Border type</th>
<th>Margin status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>liver</td>
<td>1.0 x 0.6 x 0.9</td>
<td>desmoplastic Round Cell</td>
<td>encapsulated</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>Rt pelvis</td>
<td>12.5 x 5.5 x 9.5</td>
<td>Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>infiltrative</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>Lt tibia</td>
<td>8.8 x 5.0 x 3.9</td>
<td>Ewings</td>
<td>infiltrative</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>Lt thigh</td>
<td>12.9 x 7.3 x 5.8</td>
<td>Pleomorphic sarcoma</td>
<td>infiltrative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>Lt gluteus</td>
<td>8 x 5.5 x 5.2</td>
<td>myxofibrosarcoma</td>
<td>infiltrative</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>Rt knee</td>
<td>9 x 7 x 4</td>
<td>synovial sarcoma</td>
<td>encapsulated</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>Rt thigh</td>
<td>15 x 5.5 x 9.5</td>
<td>Pleomorphic sarcoma</td>
<td>encapsulated</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>Lt knee</td>
<td>2.4 x 1.8 x 2.0</td>
<td>Pleomorphic sarcoma</td>
<td>infiltrative</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>Rt thigh</td>
<td>16 x 15.5 x 14</td>
<td>Spindle cell sarcoma</td>
<td>encapsulated</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>Rt thigh</td>
<td>10.5 x 6.5</td>
<td>myxofibrosarcoma</td>
<td>infiltrative</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Marginal refers to a margin status where the tumor approaches to within 1-2 mm of the resection margin. Slope and slope mx (maximum slope) parameters are in units of change in normalized MR signal intensity (nMRI) per mm. Distance parameter is in mm. Tumor signal refers to the peak T2-weighted MR signal intensity within 1 cm of the tumor boundary which has been normalized to the reference tissue signal (tum or signal divided by the reference signal). This peak MR tumor signal is found using the probing rays shown in Figure 1.

*This myxoid tumor in the flank was initially diagnosed as sarcoma. On final pathologic diagnosis after resection, tumor markers showed it to be an unspecified type of serous adenocarcinoma.

Discussion

In this study, we developed analytical methods to characterize the tumor boundary using the signal from T2-weighted MRI images from patients with sarcoma. T2-weighted MRI was chosen because of the large difference in water mobility characteristics (with its associated difference in T2 relaxation time) between sarcoma and normal surrounding tissue. Our preliminary data shows that the algorithm we developed can be applied to the entire tumor volume in a clinical MRI scan. From this analysis, the slope of the T2-weighted intensity of the tumor signal was plotted as a function of ray angle in a test data set of sarcoma tumors. The border MR parameters are based on a software technique which uses radial sampling rays stemming from a middle point inside the tumor. Each sampling ray contains the MR intensity values as a function of distance along that ray which includes both tumor and surrounding tissue near the border. We have determined that the slope of change in the image intensity at the tumor/normal tissue boundary is one of the most significant measurements to be extracted. This measure can locate edematous regions that may contain tumor infiltrate, inflammatory infiltrates and changes in connective tissue compared to normal surrounding muscle background. In this mixed group of soft-tissue and bony sarcomas, there was a large variability in these border parameters between subjects. This is likely due to the non-similarities in the biological behavior in these tumors. Sarcomas have different pathologies...
based on differing cellular growth rates, vascularity, necrosis, ground substance, and fluid collections. Histologic examination of these tumors has long shown that these tumor features also imply aggressive behavior.

The four tumor boundary characteristics described above have possible biological/behavioral implications. The slope boundary parameter is determined from average change in the MRI signal intensity as a function of distance at the tumor boundary and is related to the biological/biophysical properties of tumor water versus normal tissue near the tumor boundary. In other words, the T2-weighted MRI intensity changes as a function of distance along the sampling ray which traverses space within the tumor to outside the tumor. This change in MRI intensity was used to calculate a slope used in the slope boundary parameter. Each tumor shown in Table 1 has different set of MR tumor boundary parameters which can be used to help in surgical planning for a procedure that minimizes risk for tumor recurrence and in many cases preserves limb function. The ability to distinguish between positive and negative tumor-involved margins by this image analysis is demonstrated in this test data set. This boundary analysis method which is different than simple edge detection reports on the tumor-normal tissue interface.
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