Transition: A concept of significance to nursing and health care professionals
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ABSTRACT

The concept of transition has growing significance within the healthcare settings. Healthcare professionals’ encounters with patients and family during periods of health-illness transitions in addition to other transitions (e.g., developmental) can be significant. Therefore healthcare professionals should be aware of the meaning and the process of transition, to ensure efficient and competent provision of care. This paper will explore the concept of transition in the context of healthcare, and will suggest strategies to enable professionals to manage transition effectively.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Illness, particularly chronic illnesses - can be a significant burden on the life of the patient and their family, and has to be managed with a complex course of treatments, during which potentially serious complications can occur. Periods of illness are transitional by its nature, are characterised by instability and un-anticipated changes which are usually disturbing to the normal life, and can be overwhelming.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) For patients with chronic illnesses, this issue can be complicated and compounded as their illness process may extend to have no clearly defined end-point. For those chronic illnesses beginning in childhood, this may coincide with transitions that are taken place such as going to school, developing into adolescence, starting college, starting relationships, change in treatment regimen, moving to a new hospital, among many other life or illness transitions.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^8\) Some of these transitions to adulthood have been recognized as becoming increasingly complex and diverse over the last 50 years.\(^9\)

For patients, the periods of transitions whether short (e.g. acute illnesses) or long (e.g. chronic illnesses) can represent a time when multiple sources of distress are present, multiple needs exists, and multiple tasks (illness-related or otherwise) must be accomplished. These tasks necessarily require complex yet competent management from transition service stakeholders (patients, their families, and healthcare providers) in order to achieve the best possible outcomes in terms of patient’s health and well being. It is recognized that transition can be improved through being actively managed,\(^10\) and models and frameworks to facilitate effective management already exist,\(^11\) with calls for further work in this area.\(^12\)

Healthcare professional’s encounters with patients and family have obvious significance during periods of health-illness transitions. This paper will discuss the concept of transition, arguing for its special recognition within the healthcare setting. To achieve this goal, the meaning of transition and the
At the individual level, which is arguably the most relevant, the literature on transition indicates that it usually occurs when the individual or group has left one place or state but has not yet entered the next. This phase is marked by disorientation, disintegration and discovery. This phase has been called the “liminality” or the “neutral phase” or the “recoil/presence stage” and it is at this point, the person or group undergoes transformations that enable the entrance into the next and last phase (“incorporation”, “new beginning phase”, and “accommodation/self-generation stage”). In this last phase, the individual finds meaning to the new reality, and a new future has evolved. The individual or the group undergoing transition has the ability to enter a new and important role, place or stage in their life and they return to a state of balance. Individuals try to incorporate all the new information or ideas that they receive into new identities, and will practise the new behavioural patterns and new ways of dealing with themselves and others.

From these seminal theories therefore, it would seem that transition evokes a sense of movement towards some degree of resolution or integration following a period of instability or change which may change or transform the outcome for the individual.

### 4. Types of Transitions and Factors that Affect It

It is beyond the scope of this article to discuss all types of transition and the factors that affect them in detail; however the most important ones will be explored.

The literature covering the transition process reveals four main types of transitions. These include: developmental transitions; situational transitions; health-illness transitions; and organisational transitions. These transitions may occur at all levels: societal groups, organisational, family and finally at the individual level. The family and individual level is the main concern for nursing and health related fields, and at this level transitions may occur in health and illness, identity, role, relationships, abilities and pattern of behaviour.

Factors that can affect transition include the level of preparation and planning for the transition; the individual’s knowledge; the meaning of and expectations from the transition, the environment of the individual in transition (including values and rituals of the individual or the society within which the individual is living); and finally the emotional and physical well-being of the individual undergoing transition and his/her attitudes toward this change.
ing that a patient attaches to their transition is important, as it may change or determine the person’s behaviour and responses toward that transition. What the patient believes the transition means for them, and how this might impact on their ability to carry it through, their experience of it, and its potential consequences on their health are all important in shaping attitudes and concepts of self-efficacy in relation to the transition. [22]

Researchers have found great emphasis placed on having appropriate knowledge and skills to help the individual during the transition experience. [23–26] Not knowing nor understanding the process has been found to provoke stress, anxiety, and feelings of uncertainty, all capable of disrupting the transition. [20] In addition to this, the environment, including buildings and facilities as well as social networks that surround the person undergoing the transition have been shown to be crucial. These networks include family, friends, healthcare professionals, and support groups. [27] The existence of a supportive environment during transition can contribute positively to a sense of well-being and therefore enhance the transition for those who are experiencing it. These findings have been supported by a number of other researchers who have warned that the absence or lack of such support during transition may leave the person lost, with negative emotions of powerlessness, confusion, frustration and conflict. [18, 23, 28]

Maintaining the emotional and physical well-being of the individual are important factors during the transition. [20, 23, 27] If these aspects of the individual go unrecognised, they can interrupt the assimilation of new information during the transition process and negatively affect the whole process.

Finally the level of planning will determine how easy and smooth the transition process can be. Kerfoot (1988) [29] suggested that good planning can contribute to an eventful and less stressful transition. Transition planning should include identifying the key personnel involved and any potential problems or issues that might arise in the context of initiating an effective communication network between them in order to co-ordinate transition efforts. [25, 26]

5. MANAGED VERSUS NON-MANAGED TRANSITION

Poorly managed transition processes have been shown to have a negative effect on the patient undergoing transition, their health, and their treatment objectives and outcomes. [22, 30–32] Examples of these negative effects include unnecessary extra anxiety and stress [30] decreased utilization of health care facilities [32] non-compliance with treatment and increased complications [31] and finally avoidable death especially in life threatening illnesses such as cardiac dis-

eases. [30]

In contrast there is emerging evidence that well-organised health care during transition periods, do have measurable benefits for young people and their families. [33–37] In these studies, the introduction of improved interventions targeting the transition period in patients’ lives resulted in benefits for patients. These included more satisfaction with care, more effective transitions, patients better prepared to carry out transitions, and fewer non-attendances at clinics throughout transition. Indeed patients receiving a well-managed transition were more service engaged and proactive, had fewer hospital admissions or re-admissions, and had fewer health complications in the post transition period.

6. ADDRESSING TRANSITION PHENOMENA IN HEALTHCARE SETTINGS

To assist healthcare professionals manage the transition periods in patients life’s effectively, theoretical models and components of transition care have been outlined in the literature. There is a common view that transition phenomena can be complex, with multiple transitions that can take place in parallel and over differing timescales, with many factors that can affect the transition, in addition to the multiple stages of the transition process itself. [18, 38, 39] Models in attempting to encapsulate all these features are complex, this often compounds by adopting a comprehensive approach to ensure best management of the transition process.

Silverman’s (1982) [18] classic model highlighted socialization as a useful mechanism for teaching effective and efficient behaviour to help individuals cope with their transitions. This suggests that social contact with individuals who have gone through the transition process can help individuals who are preparing to go through the same experience. A “role modeling” or “mentoring” perspective has been used in subsequent approaches such as that adopted by “The Adolescent Leadership Council”, North Carolina, which brings together high school participants with college mentors, all with chronic illness. [40] It is acknowledged that this type of support during the transition process is more effective as it is provided by those having passed through similar experiences and navigated its different aspects. This provides valuable information and problem-solving techniques that are more relevant and possibly more acceptable to those undergoing the transition.

Taking a different approach, [38] Meleis et al. provides a comprehensive and more healthcare-modelled framework. This has four components, which are: the nature of transition; the transition conditions; the patterns of responses; and finally, the healthcare or nursing interventions. Informed by
these components of the transition process, healthcare professionals in the clinical setting can develop interventions that nurture and facilitate the transitions taking place. These transitions would likely be less stressful and less risky, hopefully nurturing the mechanisms that improve their ability to deal with transitions in the future.\textsuperscript{18,20}

Transition periods are usually stressful and indeterminate in nature, with indefinite timing, process and outcomes perhaps compounding other patient specific factors such as financial, social, illness, or study. Therefore healthcare professionals need to understand and acknowledge the effect of the transition process on each individual, and endeavour to minimize this effect through mutually planned interventions. Effective transition services ought to provide a means of exchanging information between patients and healthcare professionals in the clinical setting\textsuperscript{41-44} through a comprehensive and coordinated approach, involving all professional groups in the process.\textsuperscript{12} The role of family and other supporting social networks are crucial elements of the transition service.\textsuperscript{41,45,46} More strategically there is a need to train and continuously develop healthcare professionals especially on issues related to communication to be more explicit on care required during transition.\textsuperscript{41,47,48}

7. Conclusion

It is acknowledged throughout existing literature that successful transitioning can be achieved. How to ‘transition’ can in some ways be considered a transferrable skill, a skill for living that we all acquire at some point as we journey through our lives. Perhaps the difficulties that some face in transitioning, is that they have not fully learned this skill, or unfortunately have maturational or health challenges that increase its level of difficulty. These individuals can be helped by others acting as role models, or by mentoring.\textsuperscript{40} Such supportive, person-oriented approaches have been shown to facilitate the transition process and it is perhaps worth considering some aspects of mentorship theory to understand why. Darling (1984)\textsuperscript{49} proposed that effective mentoring is striking a balance between providing “support” and offering “challenge” in a dynamic relationship between mentor and mentee. In mentoring and arguably in the context of transitioning, too much support could be seen as being as detrimental (inhibiting growth and producing stasis) as would too much challenge (creating anxiety and inhibiting role performance). If mentoring is used as a conceptual framework for analyzing support for the transition process, therefore, it should be expected that it requires experience and knowledge and a favorable psychological environment and can sometimes be problematic. One could also argue that being exposed to regular transitioning produces individuals better able to cope with its pressures. Thus the biggest challenge in transitioning from pediatric to adult services, for example may not necessarily be because the individual is undergoing a metamorphosis -although this certainly requires the acquisition of developmental competencies\textsuperscript{39} - but because the pediatric environment, experienced from birth to 16 years, may have been over-supportive, and shielded the child from ‘challenge’, inadvertently inhibiting the development of transitioning skills. Logically, one would want to develop transitioning skills at an earlier age, perhaps through staged progress through the healthcare system from child to adult, in order that the enormous shock of transitioning to adult care can be minimized.

Given the growing complexity and heightened awareness of transition in healthcare it is timely that this article has examined it in its context and in some detail. It is clear from the work being done in the field that there are implications not only for professional practice, but also for the organisation of healthcare, for research, and for curricula underpinning educational programmes. Improving the quality of different aspects of healthcare in isolation has traditionally improved the quality of experience for service users, but this is self limiting and as care moves towards a seamless, more integrated approach through for example team-based care\textsuperscript{12} it is evidently time to focus on the quality of transitioning from one part of the service to another, through the development of minimum standards. In time best practice in managing these difficult, and at times stressful transitions will be informed by evidence from research which ought to filter through to more appropriate models of care, policies, guidelines and pathways.
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