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ABSTRACT

Baccalaureate nursing education strives toward comprehensive preparation of diverse nursing students to meet current healthcare workforce demands. Identification of factors that predict academic success is imperative to meet this goal. The purpose of this study was to discover whether specific academic and noncognitive variables predicted baccalaureate nursing students’ academic success, as defined by junior-year grade point average (GPA) and persistence in nursing education. This post-facto correlational study was conducted over two semesters. Junior year nursing students (N = 150) answered the Short Grit Survey and the Noncognitive Questionnaire, and their academic records were examined for previous college grades (GPAs) and SAT scores. Demographic groups were compared using t-tests, and the data were regressed on junior-year student GPAs and persistence in the major to determine predictors of success. Several significant differences between the participant group responses were noted. Only early-college GPAs predicted junior-year success. SAT scores, grit and noncognitive factors, as well as demographic variables, did not predict academic success. These results inform baccalaureate education programs about priorities for admitting and advising students, and support the use of early-college GPAs to predict the academic success of junior-year baccalaureate nursing students.
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1. BACKGROUND

Baccalaureate nurses of diverse backgrounds are needed to match the nation’s growing and increasingly heterogeneous patient population.[1,2] Improving baccalaureate nursing student success will aid in this goal because students of color (SOCs) are more likely to earn a baccalaureate nursing degree than their White peers, advancing a more diverse group of nurses.[3] While 48.4% of White nurses complete nursing degrees beyond the associate degree level, 52.5% of African American, 51.5% Hispanic, and 75.6% Asian nurses earn baccalaureate degrees.[3] Therefore, predicting baccalaureate nursing student academic success impacts not only individual students; it also enhances the diversity of the nursing workforce.

Yet nursing student attrition rates are unacceptably high,[4,5] and are often due to poor academic performance.[6] Even though SOCs are more likely to earn baccalaureate degrees, they are also at risk for withdrawing or being dismissed from nursing education programs.[6–8] The college admission process for nursing major applicants relies on academic markers such as high school grade history and standardized test scores.[5] Some nursing programs also rely on commercial entrance examinations and prior science grades in an attempt to admit applicants who are more likely to succeed.[9–11] Attrition imposes unacceptable financial and social costs for
students as well as higher education institutions, and slows progress toward meeting nursing workforce demands. Recently, the American Association of Colleges of Nursing (AACN) has promoted more holistic admission practices that consider student applicants based on their “experiences, attributes and academic metrics”, in conjunction with demonstrated academic performance to diversify nursing education programs. Research on noncognitive factors that lead to nursing student success has been conducted. For example, Dapremont interviewed Black nurse graduates about factors that led to their academic success, and found “grittier” activities such as deliberate, scheduled reading and study time, peer study groups and/or note cards were important. Grit also correlated with perceived academic and clinical success among surveyed Australian baccalaureate nursing students. Similarily, psychological hardiness, experience and socio-economic support were predictive of academic persistence among nursing students in an integrative literature review. Johnson et al. found that noncognitive variables including self-concept, support, leadership, discrimination, community service, and long-range goals were identified as potential factors affecting academic success among under-represented nursing students. Research about noncognitive variables including grit grounds the AACNs holistic admissions practices and this research.

Within the context of desired diversity, this study investigated the extent to which academic and noncognitive variables accurately predicted baccalaureate nursing students’ academic success, as defined by junior-year grade point average (GPA) and persistence in nursing. This study is important to inform nursing program admissions processes, to enhance overall student success, and enrich the nursing workforce. The need for diversity among nurses and alarmingly high attrition rates make this study imperative.

2. Method

To examine variables that may predict academic success, a convenience sample (N = 150) of baccalaureate nursing majors at a small, liberal arts college in the northeast United States were surveyed. The participant pool was comprised of 166 nursing majors in their junior year, and were primarily White (68%) and female (84%). Only third (junior) year nursing majors were invited to participate because they had completed the pre-requisite sciences and liberal arts courses and were beginning their professional nursing (laboratory and clinical) classes. Over one-fifth of all nursing students fail to persist through this educational juncture, as the nursing curriculum becomes more rigorous. Prediction of outcomes at this time was desired to optimize academic success. Academic success was defined as GPA and persistence in the nursing major during the junior year.

This post-facto correlational study was conducted over two semesters for three consecutive cohorts of nursing majors (2012-2014). Each cohort of students was surveyed near the start of the third (junior) year of a four-year academic program, following an informed consent process approved by the college’s Institutional Review Board. Survey responses were analyzed in conjunction with respondents’ early-college GPAs and SAT scores using IBM SPSS (versions 20-23). Following descriptive statistical assessment, regression analyses determined the relative contributions of noncognitive as well as academic variables toward GPAs (multivariate regression) and persistence (logistic regression) during the junior year. Nine noncognitive variables, two academic variables, and four demographic variables were regressed in various combinations on GPA and persistence in the junior year (two measures of academic success). A power analysis was conducted for each regression equation, and the sample size was determined to be sufficient.

The survey for this study was created by combining the Short Grit Scale (Grit-S) and the Noncognitive Questionnaire (NCQ). The Grit-S is an eight-item Likert-type survey to measure grit, a term defined by two distinct constructs, perseverance (effort) and passion (sustained interest) for long-term goals. Respondents rated themselves on a scale of 1 (not like me at all) to 5 (very much like me) on items such as “I am a hard worker” and “New ideas and projects often distract me from previous ones”. Multiple studies of this relatively short, simple instrument confirmed its two-factor structure (sustained effort and interest), consensual and predictive validity. Internal consistency ratings of the Grit-S were .73-.83 and confirmatory factor analysis indicated acceptable goodness of fit indices among four independent samples. Test-retest reliability was adequate, computed by Pearson’s correlation, r (279) = .68, p < .001. The Grit-S had predicted college GPAs, educational attainment, military academy retention and most recently nursing student success, and the Gr-S was chosen for this study because of the promising research about it and related constructs (i.e. resilience, intention to complete the degree, and the concerning attrition rates among nursing students.

The NCQ was designed to address perceived racial disparities in admission processes and measure student characteristics not captured by standardized tests. Sedlacek established construct validity through principle component factor analysis, and identified eight constructs that defined student traits such as adjustment, motivation, and perceptions. Eighteen items on the NCQ used Likert-scaled items (1-strongly
disagree to 5—strongly agree) to query attitudes, behaviors, and perceptions. Additionally, the NCQ inquired about educational goals, and included three short answer items regarding students’ past activities and experiences. These items were scored numerically, and a second rater chose 40% of surveys at random, then coded the open-ended items on the chosen sample of surveys. Scores were compared, and inter-rater reliability was .96. Item coding disagreement was discussed and resolved to achieve 100% agreement. The NCQ Likert scale items had appropriate test-retest reliability, \( r (1,963) = .85, p < .001 \). The NCQ inter-rater reliability of the short answer questions regarding goals, community service, leadership and activities ranged from .88 to 1.0. Similar to the Grit-S, the NCQ revealed noncognitive factors that impacted academic success among many under-represented student groups in previous studies such as Black students and NCAA Division I athletes among others. The literature search revealed no recent evidence of NCQ research, and no studies of nursing student groups. Yet, Sedlacek’s research on noncognitive variables was the foundation of the AACN Holistic Admissions Review Toolkit and pointed to a need for more noncognitive research about nursing students. The survey for this study combined the Grit-S and the NCQ to explore noncognitive factors that contributed to junior-year baccalaureate nursing student success. These factors, along with academic factors and demographic variables were regressed using multiple and logistic regression to identify predictors of junior-year GPA and persistence.

### 3. Results

The survey respondents included 94% of the institution’s enrolled junior nursing students over the three years of data collection. Chi-square and \( t \)-tests confirmed that the cohorts were similar in terms of age, gender, and race distribution (C1 \( N = 50 \); C2 \( N = 47 \); C3 \( N = 53 \)). As no significant differences were detected, the cohorts were combined to form one group for further analyses (\( N = 150 \)). Women (\( N = 126, 84\% \)) comprised the majority of participants, and 84% were under the age of 25 years. The students of color (SOC) consisted of Black, Hispanic, Asian, Multiracial and Other categories, and made up 29% of this sample. Demographic data was self-reported, and missing demographic data was collected through a review of academic records as disclosed in the informed consent.

Among the participants, significant variation was noted between genders. GPAs following the junior year ranged from 2.49 to 3.93 (\( M = 3.16, SD = .28 \)). Women (\( M = 3.19, SD = .27 \)) earned significantly higher junior-year GPAs than men (\( M = 3.10, SD = .29; t (119) = -2.21; p = .03 \), two-tailed \( t \)-test), though male and female nursing students persisted at similar rates through the junior year. Women also reported more community service and more knowledge acquired in an academic field (also called nontraditional knowledge) than their male counterparts.

Comparing race and age via \( t \)-tests, no other differences were found to contribute to academic success. Multiple regression analyses (multivariate and logistic) did not discover any combinations of demographics that led to overall academic success as measured by junior-year GPA or persistence following the junior year.

The Grit-S scale scores of the participants ranged from 2.0 to 5.0, with a mean of 3.89 (SD = .49). Independent samples \( t \)-tests showed no difference in grit between male and female participants. This study demonstrated that students aged 22 years and older reported significantly more grit (\( M = 4.07, SD = .48 \)) than students aged 19–21, (\( M = 3.81, SD = .48 \), \( t \) (98) = -2.39, \( p = .02 \), with a medium effect size (d = -.52). The independent samples \( t \)-test also demonstrated that SOC scored significantly higher on the Grit-S than White students (\( M = 4.14, SD = .48 \) vs. \( M = 3.79, SD = .49 \), \( t \) (98) = 3.43, \( p = .001 \), with a large effect size (d = .76). In contrast to their grit scores, SOC scored significantly lower (\( M = 13.28, SD = 1.75 \)) on the noncognitive variable of available support person than White students (\( M = 13.88, SD = 1.32 \); \( t \) (148) = -2.29, \( p = .02 \), with a medium effect size (d = .41). These results indicate that SOC were grittier and less supported in college than White students.

GPAs during the initial years of college were found to be statistically predictive of GPAs and persistence in the junior year of the four-year nursing program. The most parsimonious simultaneous regression to predict junior-year GPA included just two independent variables, gender and prior college GPA. These two variables significantly predicted junior-year GPA, \( F (2,109) = 24.92, p < .001 (N = 112) \). The model accounted for 31% of the variance (\( R \) squared = .314), and both variables were significant predictors. In other words, females and students who earned higher grades in pre-nursing college courses were likely to earn higher GPAs in their junior year of the nursing major. Though the total respondents numbered 150, only students still enrolled in nursing classes at the end of the junior year and had earned prior GPAs from the studied institution were included in this equation to maintain consistency of measurement (\( N = 112 \)).

Similarly, the logistic regression to predict persistence through the junior year revealed that the strongest predictor was previous college GPAs, with an odds ratio of 7.2. Gender was not a significant predictor of persistence as it was for the junior-year GPA. This indicated that students with the highest early-college GPAs were seven times more
likely to persist in the nursing major than those with lower GPAs prior to taking nursing classes. Following several iterations of multiple and logistic regression equations, SAT scores were not found to predict GPA or persistence in the junior year. Furthermore, noncognitive variables, including grit, were not found to be significant contributors to GPA or persistence in the junior year.

4. DISCUSSION

This sample was similar the nation-wide demographic profile of baccalaureate nursing students, as 84% (N = 126) of participants identified as females between 19 and 24 years old, and 71% (N = 107) self-identified as White. Though sampling procedures precluded generalization of the results, nurse educators in comparable nursing programs interested in student success can learn from this work. The NCQ and the Grit-S surveys had not previously been used among junior-level baccalaureate nursing students, so this study is informative as nurse educators seek predictors of academic success for student nurses from diverse backgrounds.

In this study, nursing student responses differed in several ways. For instance, female participants earned statistically higher GPAs during their junior year of college than their male counterparts. Although several authors have explored gender-based marginalization and the experiences of men in nursing, little quantitative research has compared academic success based on gender in the nursing major, so this finding begs further inquiry.

Grit scores were not significantly different between genders in this study. However, a similar study of nursing students in South Korea found that men had more grit than women, a finding possibly attributable to cultural differences. Older student participants reported higher grit scores than students under 22 years of age. Grit likely increases with life experience, as observed in previous studies that found positive correlations between grit and age, so these findings were not surprising.

Grit scale scores were significantly higher among nursing SOC than their White peers in this study. Because SOC have historically lacked resources to facilitate college entrance, and have been under-represented in colleges, it follows that the SOC in this study possibly faced more challenges and had more internal motivation toward a long-term goal such as college than White students as noted in their Grit-S scores. In previous studies, nursing SOC described determination to complete their baccalaureate degrees despite challenges and barriers, although grit was not quantitatively assessed. Similar to the students in this study, Strayhorn found that Black men in college reported relatively high grit scale scores (M = 4.08, SD = .88). In sum, nursing SOC’s grit scores in this study were consistent with previous research among SOC, even though higher grit scores did not predict students’ overall academic success when regressed on junior-year GPAs and persistence. These disparate results indicate the need for additional research, especially about historically under-represented groups such as men and SOC in baccalaureate nursing programs.

Previous reports have advocated that noncognitive variables, including grit, predicted academic success among various samples of college students, and grit recently predicted perceived performance among nursing students. Contrary to those studies, these results inform nurse educators that noncognitive variables, grit, and SAT scores do not predict academic success for junior-year nursing students. To predict academic success and persistence, nurse educators should rely on GPAs earned during the initial years of college, consistent with previous findings.

The NCQ had not surveyed college students recently, and studies of grit among college students have demonstrated inconsistent results. Historically, the Grit-S was touted for predicting success among distinct groups of students, including military academy cadets, pharmacy students, and Black men attending predominantly White colleges. However, a follow-up study of military cadets found that grit was not the most reliable predictor of performance and retention, similar to the meta-analysis findings of Crede, Tynan and Harms. The mixed results about grit indicate a need for further research among diverse student groups.

In this study, only early college GPAs predicted nursing student academic success as measured by junior-year GPA and persistence in the nursing major. This reinforces Wambughu, Eckfield & Van Hofwegen whose studies found previous GPAs to be predictive of academic performance. A fundamental finding of this work was that SAT scores did not predict GPAs or persistence through the junior year for nursing students, in contrast to a meta-analysis that found standardized nursing entrance exams and SATs predicted nursing success. Nurse educators are advised to reconsider the value of students’ SAT performance based on this finding. Similarly, students’ age and race did not impact academic success in this study. These findings differ from Tartavoule et al. who found nursing students who were older and SOC were less likely to be academically successful. Again, previous college GPAs were consistent predictors of success, whereas noncognitive variables, SAT scores, and demographic variables did not predict academic success for junior year nursing students.

There are several limitations of this study. The surveys relied
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