Using the Balanced Scorecard to Measure the Performance of Small and Medium- Sized Garment Enterprises in Vietnam

Kim Anh Vu Thi¹, Thuy Duong Vu¹ & Khanh Van Hoang²

¹ Trade Union University, Faculty of Accounting, Vietnam

² University of Labour and Social Affairs, Faculty of Accounting Vietnam

Corresponding author: Kim Anh Vu Thi, Trade Union University, Faculty of Accounting, Vietnam

Received: July 24, 2018	Accepted: August 5, 2018	Online Published: August 8, 2018
doi:10.5430/afr.v7n3p251	URL: https://doi.org/10.5430/afr.v7n3p2	251

Abstract

Improving performance is always a strategic issue for any business operating in the market economy, as it is an important basis for the survival and development of the business. In order to evaluate the performance of enterprises, it is necessary to use financial and non-financial indicators. In models of appreciation of performance, the Balanced Scorecard (BSC) is one of the best model. Thus, this research sought to determine the application of BSC to measure the performance suitable for small and medium-sized (SMEs) garment enterprises in Vietnam. The research design was a survey conducted on a target population of the garment companies in Vietnam with a sample size of 238 garment SMEs. The study used questionnaires in data collection. In order to analyze the data, the research tested the reliability of the observation variable and performed exploratory factor analysis to examine the convergence of the observed variables in appling BSC to set up a rating system for garment SMEs. The study found that the indicators in the financial perspective for garment SMEs only include the traditional financial criteria taken from accounting books. On the other hand, in terms of internal processes, the research also adds the following criteria: Supplier-to-Supplier ratio, Supplier-to-Supplier Timeliness, Supplier Percentage Regularly supplied to the enterprise. These indicators are highly appreciated by managers of garment SMEs and in line with the production characteristics of garment SMEs in Vietnam.

Keywords: balanced scorecard, performance measures, small and medium-sized enterprises, Vietnam

1. Introduction

It is widely acknowledged among management authorities and practitioners that what you cannot measure, you cannot effectively manage. Performance measurement can be defined as the process of quantifying the efficiency and effectiveness of action (Neely *et al*, 2005). It is "the periodic measurement of progress toward explicit short-run and long run objectives and the reporting of the results to decision makers in an attempt to improve program performance" (Neely *et al*, 2005).

Letza (1996) showed the main function of performance measurement in a strategic context, is to provide the means of control to achieve the objectives required in order to fulfill the company's mission/strategy statement. This view is supported by Neely *et al* (1994) who view performance measurement as a key part of "strategic control". Fawcett *et al* (2007) developed this argument by stating the need for performance measurement to exercise this control through: helping managers to identify good performance, setting targets and demonstrating success or failure. Development of an effective measurement system is a crucial task for any organization exposed to tough competition (Thakkar *et al*, 2007) and it must be an integral part of the management process.

Ghobadian & Gallear (1997) found that the resource limitations associated with SMEs indicated that the dimensions of quality and time were critical to ensure that waste levels were kept low, and that a high level of productivity performance was attained. Similarly, the reliance on a small number of customers suggested that to remain competitive, SMEs have to ensure that customer satisfaction remained high and that they had to be flexible enough to respond rapidly to changes in the market. Lack of a monetary safety for SMEs to absorb the impact of short term fluctuations resulting from change means that the financial dimension of performance is more critical for them than their larger counterparts. The effective monitoring of the human resource dimension of SMEs is also paramount as the flatter structure of SMEs means that employees often have a greater number of job roles and more responsibility. In these circumstances, a well-trained and motivated workforce is important. Santori & Anderson (1987) stressed the

importance of non-financial measures in monitoring and motivating the progress of the human factor of the organization.

With globalization of markets, garment SMEs have many opportunities but also many challenges. In order to enhance the competitiveness of the market, SMEs should not only formulate financial strategies but also include non-financial strategies. In models of appreciation of performance, the Balanced Scorecard (BSC) model is widely appreciated by many businesses. BSC provides managers with a holistic, visionary, strategic vision into a set of indicators in four perspectives: Finance, Customer, Internal Process, Learning & Growth. Many of the world's companies operate in different areas, using the Balanced Scorecard to evaluate garment SMEs. Vietnamese companies in general and garment SMEs in particular need a comprehensive system of evaluation. In brief, there are compelling reasons why garment SMEs should use BSC in evaluating the performance of the business with the indicator system associated with the development strategy of the enterprise.

The paper will continue with a quick intro- duction on the BSC and a brief literature review on the BSC research, leading to the reasons for this study. Then research method- ology follows with research design, study method and a discussion on the analytical framework that is used to analyse the imple- mentation issues. The last three parts are empirical findings, discussion on the findings and conclusion.

2. Overview of Research

In the early 1990's, Kaplan & Norton introduced BSC as an integrated device that facilitates the formal use of non-financial information in evaluating the effectiveness of business units (Kaplan & Norton, 1992). According to Kaplan & Norton (1996) proposed the development of a Balanced Scorecard rating system that includes Financial and non-financial indicators are linked in a causal relation to the strategic implications of specific performance goals in terms of: Finance, Customer, Business Process, Learning and Growth.

Hoque & James (2000) investigated the effect of scale on the application of BSC in the evaluation of the performance. We conducted a survey of 66 manufacturing companies in Australia. The size of the organization is measured by the team through three measures: Total sales, Total assets, Number of employees. Quantitative research results show that the larger the scale, the greater the degree of BSC adoption. Another study on the ability of BSC to implement strategy and business management in Hong Kong companies "*Perception and Applicability of the Balanced Scorecard in Hong Kong Organizations*" by Ping (2006). The author conducted a survey of 50 companies on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange in various business areas. The objective of the study was to analyze the differences between companies using BSC and BSC unused companies.

A study on the application BSC in small and medium enterprises of Sofian,S *et al* (2015) confirmed the role of BSC in strategic management for small and medium enterprises. Another study on the use of BSC in small and medium enterprises was studied in the UK and Cyprus by Giannopoulos *et al* (2013). Authors conducted a survey of 500 small and medium enterprises in the UK & Cyprus. Questionnaires are mostly closed questions that are addressed to managers of these businesses. The questions revolve around the use of BSC in these operations. The results showed that SMEs are highly appreciative of the role of BSC in the review of Korean equities despite the fact that BSC has only been used in recent years.

The research by Chimwani *et al* (2013) sought to determine the application of Balanced Scorecard in measuring performance in SMEs manufacturing enterprises in Kenya. The study found that there was a gap between the knowledge of customer perspective, internal business perspective and innovation/learning and growth perspective measures and their application in SMEs. Business managers should identify the critical internal business processes which the firm must excel at and should identify the infrastructure that the organization must build to create long-term growth and improvement of its people, systems and organizational structure. For manufacturing SMEs this will eventually translate to the competitiveness hence profitability of the firms.

Suanmali *et al.* (2009) discussed the establishment of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for the measurement of corporate social responsibility in Thai garment companies through the BSC. According to Karabay & Kurumer (2012) suggested that the proposed BSC does not apply to all companies. The purpose of this study is to provide a starting point for the establishment of an effective management system that will help companies to implement the strategy in a new competitive environment *"Key Success Factors for Organizational Innovation in the Fashion"*

Felice & Petrillo (2013) pointed out the system of evaluation performance for the fashion industry in Italy. "A *Consolidated Model of Putting BSC into Action in Textile Industry in Pakistan*", Maqbool (2015) proposed that performance evaluation model with six views instead of the four typical views of Kaplan and Norton: Financial - Customer - Supply Chain and Market - Sustainability – Learning & Growth. Maqbool (2015) proposed that

performance evaluation model with six views instead of the four typical views of Kaplan & Norton (Financial - Customer - Supply Chain and Market - Sustainability – Learning & Growth.

Starting from the review, the authors found that the application of BSC in the evaluation of the performance is very important and that the application of BSC in enterprises of different sizes will be different. However, in studies on the use of BSC in garment enterprises in the world and inVietnam, there are no studies in Vietnam on the use of BSC in assessing performance in garment SMEs in Vietnam. Thus, this study will implement the application of BSC to measure the performance suitable for SMEs garment enterprises in Vietnam with objectives: (1): Clarify the role of the BSC in assessing the performance of the business; (2): Evaluate the status of using the BSC to evaluate the performance of garment SMEs in Vietnam; (3): Applying BSC to evaluate the performance suitable for garment SMEs in Vietnam.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Balanced Scorecard

BSC was first introduced by Kaplan & Norton (1992) as a set of measures that provided top managers with fast, comprehensive views of businesses with four perspectives edge of the balanced scorecard. However, the causal relationship between dimensions was not thoroughly investigated at this stage. Kaplan & Norton (1992) working with 12 companies at the leading edge of performance measurement, devised a balanced scorecard – a set of measures that gives top managers a fast but comprehensive view of the business. The balanced scorecard includes financial measures that tell the results of actions already taken. And it complements the financial measures with operational measures on customer satisfaction, internal processes and the organisations innovation and improvement activities – operational measures that are the drivers of future financial performance.

They further add that the balanced scorecard measures differ from those traditionally used by companies given they are grounded in the organizations strategic objectives and competitive demands as opposed to being bottom-up and derived from ad-hoc processes. By requiring managers to select a limited number of critical indicators within each set of the four perspectives, the scorecard helps focus this strategic vision. In addition, while traditional financial measures report on what happened last period without indicating how managers can improve performance in the next, the scorecard functions as the cornerstone of a company's current and future success. Kaplan & Norton (1996a) state that the balanced scorecard complements financial measures of past performance with measures of the drivers of future performance. The objectives and measures of the scorecard are derived from an organisations vision and strategy. The objectives and measures view organizational performance from four perspectives: financial, customer, internal business process and learning & growth.

BSC captures the critical value creation activities created by skilled, motivated organizational participants. While retaining via the financial perspective, an interest in short-term performance, the balanced scorecard clearly reveals the value drivers for superior long term financial and competitive performance (Kaplan & Norton, 1996b)



(Source: Kaplan & Norton, 1996b)

The figure 1 shows BSC includes four perspectives, which are Learning and Growth perspective, Internal Business perspective, Customers perspective and financial perspective. Order of each of these four perspectives can be flexible to meet strategies of an organization.

The first, Financial Perspective

Financial measures remain an important dimension within the BSC. Financial performance measures indicate whether a company's strategy, implementation, and execution are contributing to bottom-line improvement. They indicated how well a company is performing with respect to its profitability targets (Decoene & Bruggeman, 2006). They have to do with a firm's performance and resource management. Financial performance measures are retrospective performance measures that reflect the results of past managerial actions and an exclusive reliance on them causes organizations to sub-optimize (Kaplan & Norton, 1996a). From a financial perspective, return on equity, return on assets, cash flow, earnings per share, sales, earnings before income tax (EBIT), sales/ total assets, return on capital employed, fixed costs, labour costs, scrap, rework, revenue growth, profit margins, cash flow and net operating income are performance measures generally agreed on.

The second, Customer Perspective

In the customer perspective, managers identify the customer and market segments in which the business unit will compete and the measures of the business unit's performance in these targeted segments. This perspective typically includes several core or generic measures of successful outcomes from a well formulated and implemented strategy. The core outcome measures include customer satisfaction, customer retention, new customer acquisition, customer profitability and market and account share in targeted segments. The segment specific drivers of core customer outcomes represent those factors that are critical for customers to switch or remain loyal to their suppliers. The customer perspective enables business unit managers to articulate the customer and market based strategy that will deliver superior future financial returns (Kaplan & Norton,1996b).

The third, Internal Business Process Perspective

Internal business process measures indicate the level of a company's performance with respect to activities that are critical to meet customer and financial objectives (Decoene & Bruggeman, 2006). They also indicate what the firm must do internally to meet its customers' expectations. The core competencies and the critical technologies are identified and measured to ensure market leadership (Thakkar et al, 2007). They have to be carefully designed by those who know the internal processes of the firm most intimately, as they should be derived from the firm's unique vision and mission statement/strategy. A decision is then made.

The fourth, Learning & Growth Perspective

The Learning and Growth perspective identifies the infrastructure that the organisation must build to create long term growth and improvement. Organisational learning and growth come from three principal sources: people, systems and organizational procedures. The financial, customer and internal business process objectives of the BSC typically will reveal large gaps between the existing capabilities of people, systems and procedures and what will be required to achieve breakthrough performance. To close these gaps, businesses will have to invest in reskilling employees, enhancing information technology and systems and aligning organizational procedures and routines. These objectives are articulated in the learning and growth perspective of the BSC (Kaplan & Norton, 1996b).

3.2 Performance Evaluation

An economic category is an economic measure that measures the viability and sustainability of an enterprise through the value it generates. The added value of the business is the added value of shareholder investments or the added value of the resources that the business spends. Value can be a combination of both the financial goal and the non-financial objective. With the goal of finance, the value created is the value of shareholders. For non-financial goals, the value includes benefits for working conditions, working time, social interaction.

In order to assess the effectiveness of the operation, the enterprises need a suitable indicator system, which is closely linked with the target and strategy of the enterprise reflect the comprehensive performace of enterprises and at the same time, it should be attached with the development strategy of the enterprise, namely: (1): The measurement criteria of the international standard must be in line with the development strategy of the enterprise; (2): The criteria of measurement of performace must be linked with the vision, values and key success factors of enterprises; (3): The norms of measurement of performace must reflect the past, present and future associated with the operation of enterprises; (4): The norms of measurement of performace should reflect the needs of customers, shareholders and employees; (5): The norms of measurement of performace must be consistent and coherent between the higher level and the lower level of the enterprise; (6): The norms of measurement of the country need to change when the business strategy of the enterprise changes; (7): The norms of measurement of the performace should be reliable; (8): The norms of measurement of the specific objectives of the enterprise; (9): The measurement system of the

international standard must include the financial criteria and non- financial criteria.

3.3 Appling a Balanced Scorecard to Assess the Performance of Your Business

BSC is used as a communication tool to communicate strategy in a clear, concise manner to all members involved both inside and outside the organization through strategic maps. Based on the four- perspective model of BSC, strategy map adds a second layer of detailed information that illustrates the dynamics of a strategy at time- based; strategy map provides a consistent way to describe strategies, so that measures and objectives can be established and managed, it provides the links between strategy execution and strategy formulation (Kaplan & Norton, 2004).

According to Kaplan and Norton, strategy map links companies'core objectives into each stage such as the development of employee knowledge, high quality products and customer satisfaction, which are linked to the companies' value chain. With a strong cause and effect relationship character, strategy map links objectives with indicators, for instance the lead indicator which comes before any other indicators and lag indictor that comes after (Kaplan & Norton, 2004).

The strategy map provides the cause- and- effect relationship between four perspectives of BSC, the result of this aspect is the cause of other aspects. Financial aspects for people to know the financial situation of the company through indicators such as ROI, ROA and ROE. The interest of shareholders always towards the financial indicators. However, the financial indicators only give a glimpse of past and present business activities, not meeting the long-term development strategy. Thus, non-financial indicators are expressed through the remaining three aspects which could give an overview of the goals and strategies of business development. The aim of the organization is to gain profit by fulfilled the customers need. Conduct service excellent in the internal process able to increase customer satisfaction and relationship between the organization and the customer. The result is that the customer becomes loyal to the organization and conducts the customer retention. This customer value proposition clarifies how to generate sales from targeted customers. Thus, the internal business aspect creates the superiority in the short and long term of the strategy, creating the factor to develop value added for customers. In a competitive environment, the added value of the customer increases as the customer's business side becomes successful. And that will lead to the success of the financial aspect, creating added value for shareholders. To achieve the strategic objectives in long term the business should focus on Learning and Growth where the company had to increase the ability to the employees. Since the organization core business is on services, the objectives must in-line with the core business of the organization for instance create customer-focused culture and develop strategic competencies by providing proper training and development to the employee frequently. Besides that, by attracting and retaining top talent, this increases employee satisfaction and they are able to make employees more engaged to the organization.

4. Research Methods

For the study, the authors used both quantitative and qualitative research methods.

With the qualitative research method, the authors conducted semi-structured and unstructured interviews, and then conducted a pilot study. In the In-Depth Questionnaire, the author uses semi-structured and unstructured questions. For the purpose of research, the author recommends that enterprises apply BSC to evaluate the company's performance in accordance with its development strategy. Therefore, the interviewees were managers whom the authors are interested in the needs of managers in the enterprise want to use any indicator in BSC.

After the in-depth interview, the authors found that most Vietnamese garment enterprises do not currently have a suitable rating system. At present, Vietnamese garment companies are of the opinion that the construction of a comprehensive evaluation system is urgent. On the other hand, from the in-depth interview, the authors find that the current appropriate indicator system needs to have current values: Economic Value Added (EVA), Market Value Added (MVA), Cash flow return on investment (CFROI). In addition, through the results of the interview, the authors also added indicators: proportion of suppliers meet the requirements; Timely delivery time for suppliers; Percentage provider is a regular supplier to the business.

The majority of SMEs in Vietnam are mainly engaged in processing garment processing, products are placed according to the requirements of customers, according to author's statistics, the general strategy of garment SMEs is focused on improving the quality of services, meeting the requirements of customers, focusing on developing the domestic market. Based on the results of the survey, the authors have summarized the development strategy of garment SMEs, namely:

- Increase profits
- Expanding the size of the business

- To concentrate and expand the domestic consumption market
- Improve and improve services, product quality
- On time delivery
- Find more customers
- Attract and use rational human resources



Figure 2. The strategy map of the garment SMEs in Vietnam

With quantitative research, the authors used SPSS 19 software in data processing. Data analysis is done through the statistical description of the sample and the observation variable. Afterwards, the authors assesses the reliability of the scale and Analyze the EFA Discovery Factor to examine the convergence of the observed variables. Based on the research results of this, the authors have made suggestions to improve the efficiency of using the Balanced Scorecard to evaluate the performance of garment SMEs in Vietnam.

Samping method

Based on the formula of Hair *et al* (2006) which demonstrated that an appropriate sample size for a study using factor analysis, should be at least 5 times the total number of observation variables.

n = 5 * m (n: number of samples to choose; m: number of observations)

Thus, with the 47 observed variables of the four expected factors, the minimum sample size was: n = 5 * 47 = 235 samples. In the study, the authors examined data in 250 firms. As a result, 238 listed firms are chosen. Table 1 shows variables and its measurement in the model.

Table 1. Variables and Its Measurement

Name of Variables	Code	Resourse
Financial perspective		
Revenue growth rate	FINAN1.01	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Revenue / employee growth rate	FINAN2.02	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Profitability ratio	FINAN3.03	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Return on Investment (ROI)	FINAN4.04	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Return on Assets (ROA)	FINAN5.05	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Return on Equity (ROE)	FINAN6.06	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Profitability of turnover	FINAN7.07	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Gross profit margin	FINAN8.08	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Return on Capital Used (ROCE)	FINAN9.09	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Rate of return on cost	FINAN10.10	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Rate of profitability of fixed assets	FINAN11.11	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Rate of stock price increase	FINAN12.12	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
The rate of increase of dividends	FINAN13.13	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Profit rate of common stock	FINAN14.14	Singh and Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Total cost reduction ratio	FINAN15.15	Singh & Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Rate of unit cost reduction	FINAN16.16	Singh & Schmidgall (2002); Chriyha et al (2012)
Economic value increases (EVA)	FINAN17.17	Anand et al (2005)
Increased market value (MVA)	FINAN18.18	Anand et al (2005)
Return on Investment (CFROI)	FINAN19.19	Anand et al (2005)
Customer perspective		
Number of complaints / customers	CUS1.20	Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).
Time to settle a complaint	CUS2.21	Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).
The percentage of customers leaving the company	CUS3.22	Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).
Frequent use of the product customer	CUS4.23	Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).
Incorrect delivery rate	CUS5.24	Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).

The rate of turnover of new customers. CUS6.25 Karlady & Kuruner (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013), Chriyha at al (2012), Kurabay & Kuruner (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013), Chriyha at al (2012). The percentage of new customers who want to CUS7.26 Karabay & Kuruner (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013), Chriyha at al (2012). Sales rate of new products / total sales collection. INTER1.27 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Revenue Ratio of New Market / Total revenue INTER2.28 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Rate of R & D expenditure / total cost INTER3.29 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Rate of non-standard products INTER5.31 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) New product ratio / total product INTER6.32 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Number of turns of inventory INTER7.33 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Time of freight INTER7.33 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) The storage time of the goods INTER7.33 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) The rate of freight INTER1.37 Autors Curumer (2012); Felice & Petrill			Karahan & Kumman (2012), Ealing & Dataille				
Internal Business Process Perspective Lot (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013) Sales rate of new products / total sales collection. INTER1.27 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Rate of R & D expenditure / total cost INTER3.29 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Rate of non-standard products INTER3.29 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Rate of Returned Goods INTER5.31 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) New product ratio / total product INTER5.31 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Number of turns of inventory INTER7.33 Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Time of freight INTER7.33 Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirements INTER10.36 Authors The rate of time the supplier delivers the goods INTER1.27 Authors Authors Learning and Growth perspective Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Coefficient of renewal of equipment LAG1.39 Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013) Proportion of ind			Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).				
Sales rate of new products / total sales collection.INTER1.27Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Revenue Ratio of New Market / Total revenueINTER2.28Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of R & D expenditure / total costINTER3.29Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of non-standard productsINTER3.30Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of Returned GoodsINTER5.31Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)New product ratio / total productINTER6.32Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Number of turns of inventoryINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The rate of time the supplier delivers the goods for the business.NUTER11.37Learning and Growth perspectiveKarabay & Kurumer (2013); Chriyha et al (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012), Felice &	The percentage of new customers who want return.	toCUS7.26					
Sales rate of new products / total sales concertion.INTER1.27(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Revenue Ratio of New Market / Total revenueINTER2.28Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of R & D expenditure / total costINTER3.29Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of non-standard productsINTER3.31Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of non-standard productsINTER5.31Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)New product ratio / total productINTER6.32Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Number of turns of inventoryINTER6.32Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER8.34Korton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The rate of time the supplier delivers the goods INTER1.37AuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier INTER1.37AuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveCoefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39Coefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012), Felice & Pet	Internal Business Process Perspective						
Revenue Ratio of New Markel / Total revenueINTER2.26(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of R & D expenditure / total costINTER3.29(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of non-standard productsINTER4.30Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of Returned GoodsINTER5.31(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)New product ratio / total productINTER6.32Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Number of turns of inventoryINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirementsINTER1.37NuthorsAuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveAuthorsCoefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39Lagala & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyh <i>et al</i> (2012), Felice & P	Sales rate of new products / total sales collection.	INTER1.27	-				
Rate of R & D'expenditure / total costINTER3.29(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of non-standard productsINTER4.30Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of Returned GoodsINTER5.31Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)New product ratio / total productINTER6.32Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Number of turns of inventoryINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The rate of time the goodsINTER10.36Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The rate of ine the supplier delivers the goods for the business.INTER11.37AuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier INTER12.38AuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveKarabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012), Fel	Revenue Ratio of New Market / Total revenue	INTER2.28	-				
Rate of non-standard productsINTER4.30(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Rate of Returned GoodsINTER5.31Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)New product ratio / total productINTER6.32Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Number of turns of inventoryINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER8.34Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirementsINTER1.37Properly durationINTER11.37AuthorsThe parcentage of suppliers is usually the supplier for the business.Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate LAG2.40Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG5.43LAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Karabay & Kurumer (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long LAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Karabay & Kurumer (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long LAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Karabay & Kurumer (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long LAG7.45Karabay &	Rate of R & D expenditure / total cost	INTER3.29	-				
Rate of Returned GoodsINTERS.31(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)New product ratio / total productINTER6.32Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Number of turns of inventoryINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER8.34Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER8.34Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirementsINTER1.33AuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier properly durationAuthorsAuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier qualificationsAuthorsAuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveKarabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).The percentage of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipment at the businessLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long LAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).	Rate of non-standard products	INTER4.30	-				
New product ratio / total productINTER6.32(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Number of turns of inventoryINTER7.33Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER8.34Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirementsINTER10.36AuthorsThe rate of time the supplier delivers the goods Tor the business.AuthorsAuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveKarabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate LAG2.40Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long LAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kur	Rate of Returned Goods	INTER5.31					
Number of turns of inventoryINTER7.33(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)The storage time of the goodsINTER8.34Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirements INTER10.36AuthorsThe rate of time the supplier delivers the goods INTER11.37AuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier INTER12.38AuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveKarabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012),Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate legreeLAG2.40 (2013); Chriyha et al (2012), Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). <td>New product ratio / total product</td> <td>INTER6.32</td> <td></td>	New product ratio / total product	INTER6.32					
Interstorage time of the goodsINTER9.34(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Time of freightINTER9.35Kaplan & Norton (1996); Karabay & Kurumer (2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirementsINTER10.36AuthorsThe rate of time the supplier delivers the goods properly durationAuthorsAuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier for the business.INTER12.38AuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveKarabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate LAG2.40 degreeKarabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43 (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipment at the businessLAG6.44 (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46 (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG9.47 LAG9.47Karabay & Ku	Number of turns of inventory	INTER7.33					
Time of freightINTER10.36(2012); Felice & Petrillo (2013)Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirements INTER10.36AuthorsThe rate of time the supplier delivers the goods properly durationAuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier for the business.AuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveAuthorsCoefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate (audificationsKarabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The percentage of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level degreeLAG4.42Proportion of indirect labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Rate of investment costs information equipment at the businessLAG6.44Proportion of employees wishing to work long long LAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay &	The storage time of the goods	INTER8.34	-				
The rate of time the supplier delivers the goods properly durationAuthorsThe percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier int business.AuthorsLearning and Growth perspectiveAuthorsCoefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate LAG2.40Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long ta the businessKarabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).	Time of freight	INTER9.35	-				
property duration The percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier for the business. Authors Learning and Growth perspective Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate qualifications LAG2.40 (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). The percentage of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41 Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42 Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). The rate of direct labor with high skill level LAG6.44 Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Rate of investment costs information equipment at the business LAG6.44 Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Rate of exchange exchange experience work LAG8.46 Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Rate of exchange exchange experience work LAG8.46 Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012). Felice & Petrillo	• • • •	Authors					
Learning and Growth perspectiveCoefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate LAG2.40Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The percentage of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costsLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costsstaff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & PetrilloRate of training costsstaff training/total costLAG9.47	property duration	Authors					
Coefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate qualificationsLAG2.40Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The percentage of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long at the businessLAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).	The percentage of suppliers is usually the suppli- for the business.	Authors					
Coefficient of renewal of equipmentLAG1.39(2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate qualificationsLAG2.40Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The percentage of indirect workers has a college LAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), 							
quantications(2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The percentage of indirect workers has a college degreeLAG3.41Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long tat the businessLAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costsstaff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2012), Felice & Petrillo							
degree(2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Proportion of employees wishing to work long long at the businessLAG7.45Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG8.47Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG9.47	quanneations		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate level LAG4.42(2013); Chriyha et al (2012).The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long at the businessLAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo	The percentage of indirect workers has a colleg degree	geLAG3.41					
The rate of direct labor with high skill levelLAG5.43(2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG6.44Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long at the businessLAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo	Proportion of indirect workers undergraduate leve	1 LAG4.42					
Rate of investment costs information equipmentLAG0.44(2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Proportion of employees wishing to work long long at the businessLAG7.45Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).	The rate of direct labor with high skill level	LAG5.43					
at the business(2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo (2013); Chriyha et al (2012).Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo							
Rate of exchange exchange experience workLAG8.46(2013); Chriyha <i>et al</i> (2012).Rate of training costs staff training/total costLAG9.47Karabay & Kurumer (2012), Felice & Petrillo	Proportion of employees wishing to work long lor at the business	^{ng} LAG7.45	•				
Rate of training costs statt training/total cost $1 \Delta t_{T} \Psi / t_{T}$	Rate of exchange exchange experience work	LAG8.46					
	Rate of training costs, staff training/total cost	LAG9.47	•				

The method of data collection

The questionnaire was divided into three parts which included open and closed questions The first section of the questionnaire sought general information about the particular enterprise such as the name of the business, which manufacturing sub-sector the business belonged to, the number of employees in the firm and the range of the previous year's profit. The second section had close-ended questions based on a five point Likert scale from 1 to 5 (whereby 1= strongly disagree, 2= agree, 3= neutral, 4= agree and 5= strongly agree) to indicate the level of agreement to statements about performance measures. The third section also had close-ended questions based on a scale of 1 to 5 (whereby 1= to a very low extent, 2 = to a low extent 3 = moderately 4 = to a high extent and 5= to a very high extent) to indicate level of application of BSC measurement perspectives.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Research Results

Statistical description of the observation variable

First, the authors decribled the level of use of indicators for the evaluation of business performance in the garment SMEs in Vietnam by determining the average value of the variable. This was the basis for the authors to assess the current status of application of BSC to evaluate business performance in the garment SMEs in Vietnam. Afterwards, the authors assessed the importance of indicators for the evaluation of business performance in the garment SMEs (See table 2).

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

		The level	of use	The level of	importance
	Ν	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.
			Deviation		Deviation
FINAN1.01	238	4.5905	.73884	3.8793	.85438
FINAN2.02	238	1.5086	.75588	3.9267	.86228
FINAN3.03	238	4.7500	.63621	3.9138	.86859
FINAN4.04	238	4.8147	.56227	3.8319	.89357
FINAN5.05	238	4.2241	.89816	3.9224	.93652
FINAN6.06	238	4.3491	1.09844	4.2586	.49448
FINAN7.07	238	4.4957	.70249	4.0172	.70842
FINAN8.08	238	2.3793	.85438	3.3319	.68832
FINAN9.09	238	2.1422	.95868	4.0345	.90627
FINAN10.10	238	3.5216	.92557	3.5129	1.14332
FINAN11.11	238	1.5819	.75146	3.6207	.99048
FINAN12.12	238	1.4914	.69626	4.0129	1.18971
FINAN13.13	238	4.7112	.77194	2.6595	1.79236
FINAN14.14	238	1.6078	.72466	2.9569	1.41661
FINAN15.15	238	4.5991	.74937	2.7112	1.88828
FINAN16.16	238	3.3578	1.17938	2.2802	.82909
FINAN17.17	238	1.5948	.67713	3.4914	.96695
FINAN18.18	238	1.6681	.54829	3.9353	1.18071
FINAN19.19	238	1.6336	.70820	3.3147	1.33878
CUS1.20	238	1.8966	.72529	3.9828	.13045
CUS2.21	238	1.5905	.76758	3.8491	.66359
CUS3.22	238	1.8793	.71053	4.2672	.66264
CUS4.23	238	3.6897	.96172	4.1552	.59001

http://afr.sciedupress.com		Accounting and Fir	ance Researc	ch	Vol. 7, No. 3; 2018
CUS5.24	238	2.1250	.95204	3.5733	1.06652
CUS6.25	238	2.1250	.95204 1.05767	4.2845	.59298
CUS0.25 CUS7.26	238	2.0388		3.9310	
			.73458		.76982
INTER1.27	238	3.4224	.84925	4.0991	.71083
INTER2.28	238	4.5086	.85782	3.8707	.92610
INTER3.29	238	2.4138	.83815	3.7371	.74136
INTER4.30	238	4.4871	.83734	4.1940	.66538
INTER5.31	238	2.5388	.90130	3.6034	.66942
INTER6.32	238	1.8448	1.09389	4.1724	.68725
INTER7.33	238	3.3922	.98760	3.2371	1.06084
INTER8.34	238	3.4095	1.17716	3.6983	1.08288
INTER9.35	238	2.5216	1.38310	3.7716	.70524
INTER10.36	238	2.1034	.96141	4.1509	.64372
INTER11.37	238	2.1767	1.07662	4.1853	.67430
INTER12.38	238	2.5474	.84125	4.1121	.69346
LAG1.39	238	2.3362	.85223	4.0043	.78678
LAG2.40	238	1.9052	.71446	4.1724	.62800
LAG3.41	238	1.8017	1.17860	4.0043	.66773
LAG4.42	238	2.5603	1.02597	2.9871	1.11844
LAG5.43	238	2.5388	.99272	3.6940	.83555
LAG6.44	238	3.5216	.77820	4.0733	.70174
LAG7.45	238	2.4957	1.55421	4.1595	.80340
LAG8.46	238	2.6207	.81814	3.9741	.82663
LAG9.47	238	2.6466	.90458	4.0560	.70334
Valid N (listwise)	238				
LAG9.47	238 238				

Assess the reliability of the scale

First, Assessment of the reliability of the scale in the Financial perspective: The third test result for the results obtained variables have the coefficient of correlation is equal to> 0.5 and Alpha coefficient = 0.802 remaining 6 variables observed (See table 3)

Table 3. Testing result of the reliability of the scale in the financial perspective

	Re	iability Statistics		
	Cronbach's A	Alpha N of Iter	ms	
	.802	6		
	Iter	n-Total Statistics		
	Scale Mean Item Deleted	if Scale Variance Item Deleted	e if Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
FINAN1.1	19.6293	10.771	.487	.786
FINAN 2.2	19.5819	10.253	.586	.765
FINAN 3.3	19.5948	10.571	.515	.781
FINAN 4.4	19.6767	9.752	.660	.747
FINAN 5.5	19.5862	10.425	.485	.789
FINAN 9.9.	19.4741	9.887	.619	.756

Second, Assessment of the reliability of the scale in the Customer perspective: The second test result for the Alpha coefficient = 0.825 with the coefficients with the coefficient of correlation of> 0.3 with the remaining 2 variables (See table 4)

Table 4. Testing result of the reliability of the scale in the customer perspective

	Re	liability Statistics		
	Cronbach's A	Alpha N of I	tems	
	0.825	2		
	Item-Total Statistics			
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
CUS4.23	4.2845	.352	.702	
CUS6.25	4.1552	.348	.702	

Third, Internal businesss perspective: With the second result, the observed variables are retained satisfactorily with the total variance coefficient of > 0.5 and the Alpha coefficient = 0.810 with 6 variables (See table 5)

Table 5. Testing result of the reliability of the scale in the internal businesss perspective

	Relia	bility Statistics		
	Cronbach's Al	pha N of Iter	ms	
	0.810	6		
	Item-	Total Statistics		
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
INTERR1 27	20.8147	5.831	.636	.764
INTERR4 30	20.7198	6.185	.572	.780
INTERR6 32	20.7414	6.227	.531	.789
INTERR10 36	20.7629	6.173	.604	.773
INTERR11 37	20.7284	6.320	.515	.792
INTERR12 38	20.8017	6.116	.561	.782

Fourth, Learning & Growth perspective: The results of the second test of the observation variables have a total variable coefficient of > 0.5 and an Alpha coefficient of 0.811 with 5 variables. Observation is retained (See table 6)

	Reliat	oility Statistics		
	Cronbach's A	lpha N of Iten	ns	
	0.811	5		
	Item-7	Fotal Statistics		
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
LAG2 40	15.8276	5.052	.616	.771
LAG 3.41	15.9957	4.775	.674	.753
LAG 5.43	16.3060	5.157	.351	.861
LAG 6.44	15.9267	4.501	.736	.731
LAG 9.47	15.9440	4.599	.694	.745

Table 6. Testing result of the reliability of the scale in the growth internal businesss perspective

Results of the EFA discovery factor analysis

The results of the EFA factor analysis for the 19 observed variables after testing the Cronbach's Alpha showed that the LAG5.43 variable with a factor load factor <0.5 would removed. The authors continued to analyze the second EFA factor with the retained variables. Analysis results obtained the remaining variables have converged into 4 groups with coefficient KMO = 0.777 satisfactory (See table 7).

Table 7. Results of the EFA

Pattern Matrix^a

	Factor		
	1 2	3	4
INTER 1. 27	.731		
INTER 10. 36	.692		
INTER 4. 30	.643		
INTER 12. 38	.632		
INTER 11.37	.600		
INTER 6. 32	.579		
FINAN4. 4	.806		
FINAN 9. 9	.723		
FINAN 2. 2	.675		
FINAN 5. 5	.547		
FINAN 1.1	.532		
FINAN 3. 3	.528		
LAG6. 44		.882	
LAG 9. 47		.791	
LAG 3 .41		.733	
LAG 2 .40		.722	
LAG 4. 23			.884

6. Discussion

Based on the results of in-depth interviews, the results of the trial, the authors found that garment SMEs did not have access to the Balanced Scorecard. Despite the fact that the assessment of the performance in addition to the financial indicators uses non-financial indicators. However, indicators are mainly financial indicators. The evaluation of garment SMEs' market has not been based on the strategy of enterprise development.

In the other hand, for garment SMEs, the financial standards proposed by the authors in terms of finance: EVA, MVA, CFROI are not yet of interest to managers. As such, the indicators in the financial perspective for garment SMEs only include the traditional financial criteria taken from the accounting books. Indicators belonging to suppliers in the perspective of internal processes are also selected by the managers.

Perspective	Objectives-Strategies	Measures	
		FINAN4.04. Return on Investment (ROI)	
	x	FINAN 9 9. Return on Capital Used (ROCE)	
Finance	- Increase profits	FINAN 2 2. Revenue / employee growth rate	
rmance	- Stabilize income for employees	r FINAN 1 1. Revenue growth rate	
	emproyees	FINAN 3 3. Profitability ratio	
		INAN 5 5. Return on Assets (ROA)	
		INTER 4 30. Rate of non-standard products	
		INTER 1 27. Sales rate of new products / total sales collection	
Internal		INTER 6 32. New product ratio / total product	
Business	 Improve product quality Improve labor skills 	INTER 10 36. Percentage of suppliers that meet the requirements	
Process		INTER 11 37. The rate of time the supplier delivers the goods properly duration	
		INTER 12 38. The percentage of suppliers is usually the supplier for the business.	
	Tasiaina of lob on shills	INTER 3 41. The percentage of indirect workers has a college degree	
Learning an	1 0	INTER 2 40. Proportion of indirect labors with postgraduate ^{it} qualifications	
Growth	capacity	INTER 6 44. Rate of investment costs information equipment	
	- Invest morden equipments	INTER 9 47. Rate of training costs, staff training / total cost	
Customer	- Expand the domestic market	CUS 6 25. The rate of turnover of new customers.	
	- Satisfy the best products and CUS 4 23. Frequent use of the product custom orders quality		
	- Maintain the loyal customers		

Table 8. The application of Balanced Scorecard in measuring performance in small and SME garment enterprises

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

The aim of the research was to establish the performance measures used in garment SMEs in Vietnam and to determine the extent of application of performance measures using the balance scorecard measurement perspectives. The research targeted 250 garment SMEs in Vietnam. The survey subjects were managers. Responses were received from 238 firms representing a response rate of 95.2 percent. Primary data was collected through a questionnaire with close- ended questions that enabled the collection of quantitative data.

The study found that the most common performance measures in garment SMEs in Vietnam were the traditional financial indicators. Garment SMEs were only interested in the short-term strategy of how businesses can survive in a fierce competition environment, thus profit targets were focused and managers were not paying attention to the EVA, MVA targets. However, the existence of measures from the internal business process and the innovation and learning/growth perspectives and their application was not very obvious. The findings indicated that 3 indicators: Proportion of suppliers meeting requirements, Proportion Time Supplier Supplier Timely, Supplier Percentage is a regular provider for businesses in the "Internal Process" perspective.

The research recommends that garment SMEs in Vietnam should supplement the traditional financial measures with non-financial measures: customer perspective measures, internal business perspectives measures and learning/growth measures (mentioned table 8). To improve the use of BSC to evaluate performance, garment SMEs should:

- \checkmark communicate strategy throughout the enterprise;
- \checkmark align unit and individual goals with the strategy;
- ✓ link strategic objectives to long-term tar- gets and annual budgets;
- ✓ identify and align strategic initiatives; and

✓ conduct periodic performance reviews to learn about and improve strategy.

BSC is able to achieve a similar consis- tency of vision and action as they attempt to change direction and introduce new strategies and processes. BSC provides a framework for managing the implementation of strategy while also allowing the strategy itself to evolve in response to changes in the company's competitive, market, and technological environments.

Limitations

There are several limitations in this study. First, sample size is small; however, this is an unavoidable problem due to time constraint. Second, the scope of the research is limited to the garment SMEs in Vietnam, which only pay attention to the short-term strategy. Third, very little data about the system at the global and area level have been collected, thus it limits the understanding about the actual process of building a standard set of measures and targets, because the local firm and employees at country level have the role of users rather than system designers.

Future research

The following studies may examine the factors that affect the use of the balanced scorecard for evaluating marginal returns in Vietnamese garment enterprises. In-depth testing of geographic factors affects the use of balanced scorecards.

References

- Chimwani, P.M., Onserio, N. & Otuya, R. (2013). Application of Strategic performance measures in Small and Medium Sized Manufacturing Enterprises in Kenya: The Use of the Balanced Scorcard perspective. *International Journal of Management Science and Business Research*, 6.
- Decoene V., Bruggeman W. (2006). Strategic alignment and middle-level managers' motivation in a balanced scorecard setting. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 26(4), 429-448. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570610650576
- Felice, F.D. & Petrillo, A. (2013). Key Success Factors for Organizational Innovation in the Fashion Industry. International Journal of Engineering Business Management. https://doi.org/10.5772/56882
- Fawcett, S.E., Osterhaus, P., Magnan, G.M., Brau, J.C., & McCarter, M.C. (2007). Information sharing and supply chain performance: the role of connectivity and willingness. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 12(5), 358-368. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540710776935
- Giannopoulos, G., Holt, A., Khansalar, E., & Cleanthous, S. (2013). The use of balanced scorecard in small companies. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 8(14), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v8n14p1
- Ghobadian A., Gallear D. (1997). TQM and organisation size, *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 17(2), 121-63. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443579710158023
- Hoque, Z., James, W. (2000). Linking Balanced Scorecard measures to size and market factors: impact on organizational performance. J. Manage. Acc. Res. 12, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.2308/jmar.2000.12.1.1
- Kaplan R, S., & Norton D. P (2004). How Strategy Maps Frame and Organization's Objectives. *ABD/INFORM Global*.
- Kaplan, R.S., & Norton, D.P (1992). The Balanced Scorecard: Measures That Drive Performance. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Kaplan, R.S., & Norton, D.P (1996a). The balanced scorecard: Translating strategy into action. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Kaplan, R.S., & Norton, D.P. (1996b). Using the Balanced Scorecard as a Strategic Management System. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Kaplan, R.S. & Norton, D.P. (2000). The Strategy focused organization: How Balanced Scorecard Companies threve in the new Business environment. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Kaplan, R.S., & Norton, D.P. (2001). Transforming the balanced scorecard from performance measurement to strategic management. *Accounting Horizons*, 15.
- Karabay, G. & Kurumer, G. (2012). Managing through Strategic Performance Management in Apparel Companies. *Fibers & Textiles in Eastern Europe, 20, 4*(93), 13-19.

Hair, J.F., et al. (2006). Multivaiate Data Analysis: Fifth Ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall,

- Lawson, R. Stratton, W. & Hatch, T. (2005). Achieving strategy with score carding. *The Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, March/April, 8-63.
- Letza, S.R. (1996). The design and implementation of the balanced business scorecard, *Business Process Re-engineering and Management Journal*, 2(3), 54–76. https://doi.org/10.1108/14637159610151217

Maqbool, M.H. (2015). A Consolidated Model of Putting BSC into Action in Textile Industry in Pakistan.

- Neely, A., Mills, J., Platts, K., Gregory, M., Richards, H. (1994). Realizing strategy through measurement. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 14(3), 140-52. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443579410058603
- Neely, A., Gregory, M., J., Platts, K. (2005). Performance measurement system design: A literature review and research agenda. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(14), 1228-63.
- Ping, S.W. (2006). Reception and Applicationlity of the Balanced Scorecard in HongKong Organizations.
- Thakkar J., Deshmukh S.G., Gupta A.D., Shankar R. (2007). Development of a balance scorecard; an integrated approach of Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) and Analytic Network Process (ANP). *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 56(1), 25-59.
- Santori, P.R., Anderson, A.D. (1987). Manufacturing performance in the 1990s: measuring for excellence. *Journal of Accountancy*, *164*(5), 141-7.
- Sofian, S., Lonbani, M., & Baroto, M. (2015). Linking Balanced Scorecard measures to SME's Business Strategy: Addressing the moderating role of Financial resources. *International Journal of Research*, Số 12, 92-99.
- Suanmali, Suthathip, Ekkprawatt Phongarjarn, Chawalit Jeenanunta, Veeris Ammarapala, Kornthip Watcharapanyawong. (2009). A Study of Business Performance through Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in Thai Garment Iridustry. http://chawalit.siit.tu.ac.th/lib/ exe/fetch.php?media=dissertations:icls54.pdf